Public

Meeting Summary

Transmission Charging Methodologies Forum

Date:	06/08/2026	Location:	MS Teams
Start:	10:00am	End:	11:30am

#	Topics to be discussed	
1.	Introduction, meeting objectives and review of previous actions	Alastair Owen, NESO
2.	New modification proposal – Replace references to Carbon and Low Carbon in CUSC Section 14	Andrew Dudkowsky, NESO
3.	2025/26 TNUoS Manifest Error	Nick Everitt, Revenue Billing Manager, NESO
4.	Code Administrator Update	Catia Gomes, Code Administrator NESO
5.	AOB and Meeting Close	Alastair Owen, NESO

Discussion and details

These notes are produced as an accompaniment to the slide pack presented which can be found here; https://www.neso.energy/industry-information/codes/connection-and-use-system-code-cusc/cusc-forum

#	Topics to be discussed
1.	**Introduction, meeting objectives and review of previous actions - Alastair Owen, NESO** Alastair Owen (AO) opened the meeting, providing an overview of the agenda items for discussion and led a review of the action log. Previous open actions remain on hold pending progress on the new connections queue process, while regular updates on wider charging reforms and policy developments will continue to be provided through future forum sessions.
2.	**New modification proposal – Replace references to Carbon and Low Carbon in CUSC Section 14** Andrew Dudkowsky (AD) presented a proposed modification to replace the terms "Carbon" and "Low Carbon" in CUSC Section 14 with "Flexible" and "Inflexible" generation. The proposal is intended as a clarification exercise only, with no expected impact on charging arrangements, as the existing terms no longer accurately reflect modern generation technologies. Forum members generally supported improving clarity but raised concerns regarding potential unintended consequences, interaction with wider charging reforms, and whether the replacement

terms are themselves sufficiently accurate. (AD) confirmed the proposal would only amend terminology and not alter existing generation classifications.

Paul Jones (PJ) questioned whether the proposal should be prioritised given ongoing charging reforms and noted that changing familiar terminology may create additional confusion ahead of wider reforms

Damian Clough (DC) warned that a seemingly simple terminology change could have wider impacts across charging models, documentation, methodologies and historical modification references that would need to be updated.

Sally Ann Young (SY) queried how intermittent generation would be treated and whether all existing classifications would fit appropriately under the proposed flexible/inflexible terminology.

AD thanked participants for feedback, noting that further consideration would be required before deciding next steps.

Full details on the Proposal can be found on the slides.

3. 2025/26 TNUoS Manifest Error – Nick Everitt, Revenue Billing Manager, NESO

Nick Everitt (NE) provided an overview of a manifest error identified in the 2025/26 TNUoS tariff-setting process, caused by incorrect TEC data being used within the charging model. NE noted that only parties materially affected under the CUSC manifest error provisions were contacted, and the reconciliation was completed through the 2025/26 generation reconciliation process.

NE emphasised that NESO accepts responsibility for the error and has implemented corrective actions and audits to strengthen controls. Further, NE noted that NESO has undertaken an internal audit of the TEC register and tariff setting process, as well as commissioning an external audit for further assurance. Lessons learned include improved customer communications, earlier assessment of materiality impacts, and greater transparency through forums such as TCMF.

Arjan Geveke (AG) and Sally Ann Young (SAY) asked about the impact on demand users and the process for correcting tech register inaccuracies; NE confirmed the process applies to both generation and demand sides if materiality is breached, and encouraged reporting of suspected inaccuracies.

Nicola Fitchett (NF) welcomed the transparency of the update and sought assurance on whether the audit work had been completed and whether similar issues could affect 2026/27 tariffs. NE acknowledged the importance of transparency, explaining that the TEC register audit had been completed with improvement actions underway. NE further noted that that while an external audit of the tariff-setting process was still ongoing, NESO was strengthening controls to reduce the risk of similar issues affecting future tariffs.

DC noted the challenge of relying on data provided through other processes and highlighted the importance of ensuring the accuracy of inputs used within charging calculations. NE clarified that NESO owns the error and that market participants cannot manipulate the data, as it is based on agreed connection information.

Full details on the update can be found on the slides.

4. CUSC Administrator Update – Catia Gomes, Code Administrator NESO

Catia Gomes (CG) gave the code administrator update.

CG provided updates on new modifications, decisions, implementations, and consultations, as well as information on the upcoming panel meetings and submission deadlines.

Full details on the update can be found on the slides.

5. AOB and Meeting Close - Alastair Owen, NESO

- **Update on Reformed National Pricing (RNP) programme.**

  Bartosz Slota (BS) provided an update on Ofgem's RNP programme, noting that policy development continues following the March Call for Input. Ofgem is progressing assessment of the identified options, although future decisions remain dependent on wider Government decisions regarding siting and investment levers and their role within future charging arrangements.

  Barnaby Cowin (BC) asked whether membership of the LCDG would be published. Bartosz Slota confirmed membership details would be made public at an organisational level rather than naming individual participants

- **Clarification on CMP447 Infrastructure Project Exemptions**

  Dennis Gowland (DG) raised concerns about unclear infrastructure project exemptions under CMP447, with AO and James Stone (JS) confirming that Ofgem's major projects team owns the list and will look to provide clarification at a future meeting.

The meeting was closed.