

Public

Code Administrator Meeting

Summary

Workgroup Meeting 6: CMP456: Cost Recovery for legacy plant in relation to GCO168

CMP466: CMP456 Consequential Charging Modification

Date: 24 June 2026

Contact Details

Chair: Sarah Williams, Sarah.Williams@neso.energy

Proposer: Tim Ellingham, tim.ellingham@rwe.com

Key areas of discussion

The Workgroup reviewed outstanding actions, updates to the CMP398 claims assessment process, and how this could inform the design of CMP456, alongside a detailed review of the evolving legal text. The discussion focused on introducing a structured pre-claim process, clarifying terminology, and agreeing the need for supporting guidance to improve clarity for users, as well as progressing the workgroup report and next steps ahead of the final meeting.

Action Log Review

Action 8 (Action closed)

The Workgroup confirmed there had been no further progress in obtaining updated information from external parties, with previous estimates considered sufficient for the purposes of the modification. It was agreed that no further updates were likely, and the action was therefore closed.

Action 14 (Action closed)

The Workgroup noted that the Proposer had completed the review and formally requested the withdrawal of CMP466, with the process progressing to Panel. The Workgroup agreed the action had been fulfilled and it was closed.

Action 15 (Action closed)

The updated legal text proposals had been shared with the Chair ahead of the meeting, with only minor adjustments required. The Workgroup agreed to review these during the legal text discussion and considered the action complete, therefore closing it.

Public

Action 16 (Action closed)

The required internal confirmation on cost recovery had been obtained and shared with Workgroup members via revised slides. The Workgroup agreed the action had been completed and closed it.

Action 17 (Action closed)

The Workgroup identified this as a duplicate of a previous action and confirmed it had already been addressed. The action was therefore closed.

CMP398 Claims Assessment Process Overview:

Two-Stage Process Explanation: The NESO Representative explained that CMP398 operates with an optional pre-claim stage for early feedback and a formal claim stage requiring full supporting evidence, both assessed on necessity, reasonableness, efficiency, and proportionality.

Technical Validation and Payment Handling: The NESO Representative described the claims process as involving technical validation, claims assessment, and payment handling, with NESO conducting parallel reviews and payments made over 12 monthly instalments upon approval.

Evidence Requirements: The NESO representative outlined the expectation for open book submissions, including justification for chosen solutions, evidence of alternatives considered, detailed cost breakdowns, and invoices, with two Workgroup members noting the limited supplier options for legacy plant modelling.

Discussion on Process Adaptation: The Workgroup members discussed the need to relax requirements for alternatives in CMP456 due to practical constraints. One workgroup member raised the importance of clear guidance for customers, prompting further consideration of guidance note inclusion.

Review and Update of CMP456 Legal Text

Terminology Adjustments: The Workgroup debated the use of 'CUSC Party' versus 'CUSC User' in the legal text, with one Workgroup member highlighting the need to ensure DNOs are captured, and the NESO representative agreeing to review definitions for consistency.

Claims Assessment Process in Legal Text: The Proposer proposed making the pre-claim submission compulsory to prevent issues with NESO disagreement, detailing expected costs and

Public

scope, and specifying NESO's response time within 10 working days, with the Chair noting an action for an update to the wording.

Guidance Note Inclusion: The Workgroup discussed whether to include a Guidance note, with some Workgroup members agreeing on its necessity for clarity and flexibility, and the NESO Representative confirming the existence of a guidance note for CMP398, prompting actions to define guidance note requirements for CMP456.

Replication of Updates in WACMI Legal Text: The NESO Representative confirmed that updates made in the original legal text would be carried over to WACMI, pending further offline discussions.

Update and Next Steps for CMP466:

The Proposer provided an update on the status of CMP466, indicating that the withdrawal request is progressing to the CUSC Panel for consideration.

Next Steps

The Chair initiated the drafting of the Workgroup report, requesting members to review and contribute content, and outlined actions for finalising the report and guidance note.

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
8	WG2	TE	Check previous models and share with the Workgroup.	WG6	Closed
14	WG4	TE	Review the potential removal of CMP466 offline, subject to further internal and legal confirmation.	WG6	Closed
15	WG5	JP	Review the latest legal text, engage with their legal team, and propose updated wording to reflect DNO/embedded customer scope.	WG6	Closed

Public

16	WG5	AH	Seek internal confirmation on whether EMT model costs can be recovered via internal (BSUoS internal) mechanisms, and whether this can be shared publicly.	WG6	Closed
17	WG5	TE	Consider the implications of the potential internal cost recovery route and decide whether to proceed with or withdraw CMP466.	WG6	Closed
18	WG6	TE	Review and update the wording in the legal text around pre-claim and final claim submissions, including timelines and requirements.	WG7	Open
19	WG6	TE	Add an explanation in the Workgroup report document outlining what the guidance note will entail if the full guidance note cannot be completed before submission.	WG7	Open
20	WG6	SW	Share the Draft Workgroup Report with and request that everyone review and add content, especially regarding terms of reference and post-consultation discussions.	WG7	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Sarah Williams	SW	NESO	Chair
Prisca Evans	PE	NESO	Technical Secretary
Tim Ellingham	TE	RWE	Proposer

Public

Aishwarya Harsure	AH	Neso	NESO Representative
Andrew Colley	AC	SSE Generation	Workgroup alternate
Damian Clough	DC	SSE	Workgroup alternate
Ghulam Haider	GH	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Gopi Yericherla	GY	NESO	NESO SME
Jack Purchase	JP	NGED	Workgroup member
Paul Jones	PJ	Uniper	Workgroup alternate
Ross Strachan	RS	EDF-PS	Workgroup member
Utkarsh Agarwal	UA	ESB Generation and Trading Limited	Workgroup member