

Public

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

## Workgroup Meeting 9: GC0176 – Introduction of Demand Control Rotation Protocol within Operating Code 6 of the Grid Code

**Date: 08 May 2026**

### Contact Details

Chair: Joe Henry, [Joseph.Henry2@neso.energy](mailto:Joseph.Henry2@neso.energy)

Proposer : Frank Kasibante, [frank.kasibante1@neso.energy](mailto:frank.kasibante1@neso.energy)

### Key areas of discussion

The Chair welcomed the Workgroup members to Workgroup 9 and gave a brief outline of the agenda.

### Amended Legal Text

The Chair advised that the Workgroup was reconvened to consider a minor amendment to the legal text following feedback from the DCRP consultation, specifically relating to the definition of “load block” in both the Grid Code and Distribution Code. Workgroup members were asked to confirm whether they remained content with the revised text and whether it affected their previous unanimous vote from Workgroup 8.

The proposer confirmed the amendment did not change the original intent of GC0176. If members remained satisfied, the modification would proceed to the DFMR stage for submission to the May Grid Code Review Panel; otherwise, a second Code Administrator consultation would be required.

The Workgroup had considered the revised drafting following feedback from the Distribution Code Review Panel consultation. FK noted that the consultation response helpfully clarified the original intent of the proposal and thanked AC for raising the issue at panel.

RS explained that the revised definition adopts the wording suggested through consultation feedback. The key clarification is that the 4–6% reduction relates to the DNO’s share of demand, rather than total demand on the network.

AC confirmed this was the issue raised during consultation, adding that the previous wording could have been interpreted as referring to 4–6% of total network demand, which was not the intended meaning, and that the revised text corrects this.

The Chair clarified if the Workgroup agreed to the legal text change and advised that, if agreed, the Workgroup’s original 2025 vote would stand, allowing the modification to proceed to the Grid Code Review Panel the following week and then onward through the formal process. No objections were raised, and no one indicated they wished to change their vote, so the change was treated as agreed.

## Public

The Workgroup confirmed that, as this is a joint Distribution Code Review Panel and Grid Code Review Panel workgroup, the agreed changes should also be reflected in the associated Distribution Code definition of load block. AC stated that Northern Powergrid would propose the equivalent Distribution Code amendments and paused to confirm that members were content with that approach. No objections were raised.

## Any other Business

There was no, any other business raised.

## Next Steps

JD and the relevant parties to take the Distribution Code modification through its final governance process.

## Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Joe Henry	JH	NESO Code Administrator	Chair
Kayte Stanton-Hughes	ML	NESO Code Administrator	Technical Secretary
Frank Kasibante	FK	NESO	Proposer
Rebecca Scott	RC	NESO	NESO SME
Alan Creighton	AC	Northern Powergrid	Alternate
Andrew Urquhart	AU	SSE Generation	Alternate
Graeme Vincent	GV	SP Energy Networks	Alternate
Paul Turner	PT	Electricity Northwest	Workgroup Member
Richard Wilson	RW	UKPN	Workgroup Member
Jeevan Dhaliwal	SS	Energy Networks	Alternate