

Public

Code Administrator Meeting

Summary

Workgroup Meeting 8: CM097 – Electromagnetic Transient (EMT) and Root Mean Square (RMS) Model Submission for Transmission Owners (TOs)

Date: 08 April 2026

Contact Details

Chair: Claire Goult, claire.goult@neso.energy

Proposer: Frank Kasibante, frank.kasibantel@neso.energy

Key areas of discussion

The purpose of Workgroup 8 was to complete the Workgroup Report, agree the draft Legal Text, agree that the Terms of Reference had been met, carry out the Workgroup Vote, and discuss the PM0147 Legal Text.

Workgroup Report

The Chair confirmed that the Workgroup Report had received only one comment from Workgroup members and therefore only confirmation of accuracy and minor refinements are required.

Clarification of references and content alignment

The Chair queried whether references in the Workgroup Report to a draft guidance note were accurate. The Proposer confirmed that the guidance note had since been incorporated into the revisions to STCP 12-2 under PM0147.

Cross-code impacts and related modifications

The Chair noted that the Workgroup Report stated there was no direct impact on the CUSC, but queried whether additional clarification was required in relation to other related modifications. Discussion took place regarding CMP456 and CMP466, acknowledging how similar approaches had been applied, particularly in relation to cost recovery. The Workgroup Report was updated accordingly. The Workgroup agreed that the Workgroup Report should also include references to GC0168.

Public

Terms of Reference (ToR)

The Workgroup discussed each ToR, updating the text to include evidence of how they had been addressed. The Workgroup agreed that the ToR had been addressed and that the Workgroup Report adequately reflected the Workgroup’s discussions and conclusions.

Legal Text Review

Schedule 3

The Chair noted that the changes made to the Schedule 3 draft Legal Text since the previous Workgroup meeting were limited to formatting and typographical corrections, with no substantive changes made. The Proposer confirmed that the draft Legal Text would be checked against the latest baseline. The Workgroup confirmed that they were content with the Schedule 3 draft Legal Text.

Section D

The Chair confirmed that the changes made to the Schedule D draft Legal Text were similarly non-material, including corrections to formatting, paragraph numbering, and minor typographical issues. The Workgroup confirmed that they were content with the Schedule D draft Legal Text.

Terms of Reference (ToR)

The Chair re-presented the ToR, confirming that they mirrored those in the Workgroup Report. The Chair noted that evidence would be signposted for the STC Panel using page references and annexes. The Workgroup confirmed that the ToR had been addressed.

Workgroup Vote

Voting Eligibility

The Chair confirmed the Workgroup Voting procedure, including voting eligibility, and that the Alternative Proposal previously presented to the Workgroup had been withdrawn, so there would be no Alternative Vote.

A Workgroup member questioned whether non-STC Party Workgroup members were eligible to vote, as three of the nine Workgroup members were not STC Parties. The Technical Secretary confirmed that this issue was clarified during Workgroup meeting 6, stating that all Workgroup members (both STC and non-STC Party) are eligible to vote, provided they meet the minimum 50% attendance at the time of the Workgroup Vote. Rules on eligibility for the Workgroup Vote are found in the ToR, as agreed by the STC Panel and discussed by Workgroup members during Workgroup 1, and not in the STC or Governance Rules.

Public

The Chair confirmed that of the three non-STC Party Workgroup members, only one was in attendance but was not responding to requests to contribute to the meeting and would therefore not be voting. The remaining Workgroup members (all STC Party) would carry out their vote as normal. The Chair and Technical Secretary will seek clarification from NESO Legal on voting eligibility for non-STC Parties and provide Workgroup members with an update.

Workgroup Vote

Of the five votes, five Workgroup members voted in favour of the Original solution. Voting statements were requested to be submitted by email. A full record of the Workgroup Vote will be shared with Workgroup members and included as an annex in the Workgroup Report.

STCP 12-2 and Appendix B

The Proposer confirmed that changes to the STCP sat outside CM097 and would follow its own governance process under PM0147. The Proposer stated that PM0147 would be presented at the April 2026 STC Panel and it was expected to be treated as material, requiring submission to the Authority for decision.

Consolidation of Appendix B into STCP 12-2

The Proposer explained that Appendix B would be incorporated into STCP 12-2 to create a single consolidated document, to avoid Users referring to separate documents. They confirmed that the STCP content was aligned with Grid Code material, noting that Grid Code guidance currently covered EMT only, whereas the STCP addressed both EMT and RMS.

Clarity of documentation and reporting expectations

A Workgroup member raised concerns that the drafting did not clearly describe expectations for DPS-style reports, validation reports, and supporting documentation. The Proposer noted that the relevant expectations were already set out in Appendix B but proposed amending the drafting to directly reference Appendix B.

Roles and responsibilities

A Workgroup member noted that the drafting seemed to imply Transmission Owners would be required to indicate acceptance or rejection of user models submitted by NESO. The Proposer clarified that this was not the intent and that Transmission Owners were not expected to undertake compliance assessments. The Workgroup agreed that the drafting should better reflect reciprocal responsibilities between sending and receiving parties.

Public

Timelines and transitional arrangements

A Workgroup member raised concerns about model submission timelines, particularly for projects already contracted or delivered significantly after the modification. The Proposer confirmed that the drafting was not intended to apply retrospectively and that the wording ‘unless otherwise agreed’ was intended to allow for pragmatic, case-by-case agreement.

Intellectual property (IP) concerns

A Workgroup member highlighted concerns regarding IP constraints, NDAs, and the burden of providing additional models solely for sharing purposes. The Proposer acknowledged these concerns and stated that the issue would need further consideration, including whether additional mechanisms might be required to address IP limitations without creating disproportionate obligations.

RMS and EMT requirements

The Workgroup discussed the use of ‘if applicable’ wording, the distinction between frequency range capability and frequency response simulations, and ambiguity between library models and user-specific models. The Proposer agreed to obtain clarification from NESO SMEs.

Next Steps

The Chair asked Workgroup members to submit voting statements by 5pm on 10 April 2026. The finalised Workgroup Report and updated draft Legal Text will be circulated. Further PM0147 drafting issues are to be progressed in a separate and optional meeting outside the CM097 process, scheduled for 2-4pm on 14 April 2026.

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

All actions were closed in earlier Workgroup meetings and no new actions were raised during Workgroup 8.

Attendees

Name	Initials	Company	Role
Claire Goult	CG	NESO Code Administrator	Chair
Matthew Larreta	ML	NESO Code Administrator	Technical Secretary
Frank Kasibante	FK	NESO	Proposer
Arslan Saidi	AS	Ofgem	Authority Representative

Public

Dewu Shu	DS	GE Vernova	Workgroup Member
Graeme Vincent	GV	SP Energy Networks	Workgroup Member
Jayaraman Ramachandran	JR	NESO	NESO SME
Joel Matthews	JM	Diamond Transmission Corp	Workgroup Member
Maria Ebue	ME	Volitalia UK Ltd	Observer
Mike Lee	ML	Transmission Investment	Workgroup Member
Roger Carter	RC	Transmission Investment	Workgroup Member Alternate
Srinivas Edla	SE	SSEN	Workgroup Member