

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status	Workgroup Closed
1	WG1	LW	Terms of Reference: Submit the proposed amendment to change the word "explain" to "consider" in the Terms of Reference (d) to the SQSS Panel for approval		To be presented at SQSS March Panel	Open	
2	WG1	DN/SP	Case Studies Presentation: Prepare and present additional case studies at the next WG meeting, focussing on examples where outages move from insecure to secure and include Scottish practices for comparison.	10.03.26 The Workgroup members discussed further case studies and requested, further clarification on numerical values, such as: Voltage levels, Overload magnitudes, Generator effectiveness, model assumptions and DNO modelling accuracy		Open	
3	WG1	DN/SP/NESO/TO Representatives	Clarification of Unacceptable Overloading Interpretation: Clarify and document how "unacceptable overloading" is interpreted and applied in practice by NESO and the three TO's in both Scotland, England & Wales, and present findings at the next meeting.		Voltage levels	Open	
4	WG1	All Workgroup Members	Legal Text Review for Clause 5.11.3: Review and confirm the accuracy of the draft legal text for proposed clause 5.11.3 ensuring correct referencnes and alignment with intended scope and share with Workgroup.	10.03.26 to be covered in next Workgroup 3	Overload mag	Open	
5	WG1	DN/AR	Consideration of Alternative approaches to SQSS: Investigate and report on whether alternative approaches such as temporary derogations or tools similar to connect and manage have been considered instead of deleting elements from the SQSS.	Context provided by Workgroup members, that Ofgem is steering towards codifying flexibility to reduce reliance on bespoke derogations, which are slow and administratively heavy. Workgroup agreed to close action 05.	Generator effe	Closed	WG2
6	WG1	DN	Impact Assessment for GSR036 on generators: Ensure the impact assesment for GSR036 includes consideration of impacts on generators, particularly Nuclear plants and communicate to EDF.	GSR036 has gone to Code Administration Consultant and part of the code admin consultation will give industry the opportunity to feed in comments when we do publish that consultation. So just because there's not a work group, there is still opportunity to sort of raise your concerns via a consultation.	Model assumpt	Closed	WG2
7	WG2	DN/SP	Case studies to be updated with clause-by-clause SQSS walkthroughs.		WG3	Open	
8	WG2	DN/SP	Engagement with "Affected Users" Request to explicitly define and document this in reports and slides.		WG3	Open	
9	WG2	DN	Impact of Proposed Changes on Safety and Asset Protection: Clarification requested on who is responsible for safety and how this interacts with SQSS.		WG3	Open	
10	WG2	DN	Relationship Between Chapter 5.4 Changes and Chapters 2 & 4 •Concern that any changes made to 5.4 will impact chapter 2 and 4 of the SQSS. Request for this linkage to be fully assessed.		WG3	Open	

11	WG2	DN	Need for Clearer Problem Definition: Strong emphasis on clarifying this before modifying legal text.		WG3	Open	
12	WG2	DN	Purpose and Scope of 5.11 (Risk Based Approach) Request to revisit the rationale before moving to legal text drafting.		WG3	Open	
13	WG3	DN/NESO Representative	Clarify governance location for the risk-assessment process Determine where the risk-assessment framework will sit (e.g. SQSS appendix, Grid Code, STC, or other mechanism), ensuring it properly includes DNOs and affected users rather than relying solely on NAP arrangements.		WG4	Open	
14	WG3	All Workgroup Members / RW	Seek an offline view from the SQSS Panel on "what vs how" An email query to the SQSS Panel to confirm whether defining the content ("what") of the risk assessment is appropriate within SQSS, or should sit elsewhere. Lurrentia to draft the query and circulate for workgroup sense-check before sending.		WG4	Open	
15	WG3	DN	Define the scope and minimum content of the risk assessment Develop clarity on what the risk assessment must cover, including: safety and asset risk, security of supply, voltage impacts, customer and financial consequences,		WG4	Open	
16	WG3	DN	Clarify stakeholder roles, consent, and dispute resolution Define: which parties must be involved (TOs, DNOs, generators, users), whether any party has veto rights, <u>how disagreements are resolved if consensus is not reached.</u>		WG4	Open	
17	WG3	DN	Consider an additional Scotland-specific case study (clause 5.3) NISO to explore whether a Scottish example linked to loss of supply >1500 MW (5.3) can be added to demonstrate where the new mechanism could apply in Scotland.		WG4	Open	
18	WG3	DN	Clarify treatment of financial impacts and compensation Ensure the approach considers: constraint costs, customer compensation exposure (including DNO impacts), interaction with STC and System Access Reform arrangements.		WG4	Open	
19	WG	RW/ALL	Circulate draft consultation and legal text for offline comment Draft consultation and revised legal text to be shared outside meetings (email where collaboration space access is an issue), with comments reviewed ahead of the next workgroup.		WG4	Open	

20	WG3	RW/DN	Review and, if needed, adjust the timetable Consider adding an extra workgroup meeting and slightly delaying consultation to resolve outstanding governance and risk-assessment issues, without affecting the July SQSS Panel deadline.		WG4	Open	
21	WG3	DN/AH	Review the appropriate governance/code location (e.g., Grid Code, STCP, NAP) for the risk assessment process to ensure all impacted users (including DNOS and generators) are properly included, and report back at the next meeting		WG4	Open	