

Public

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Workgroup Meeting 6: CM093 – Extending the principles of the User Commitment

Methodology to Final Sums Methodology

---

**Date:** 23 March 2026

---

## Contact Details

Chair: Rob Hughes; [robert.hughes3@neso.energy](mailto:robert.hughes3@neso.energy)

Proposer: Steve Baker; [stephen.baker@neso.energy](mailto:stephen.baker@neso.energy)

---

## Key areas of discussion

The main aim of Workgroup 7 was to review the draft Workgroup Report and consider the legal text issues.

## Objectives and Timeline

The Chair shared the timeline, with no comments received from the workgroup.

## Action log

The Workgroup reviewed the action log.

**Action 4 (Ongoing):** Discussions have taken place with Transmission Operators and NESO Legal have been kept up to date. The Proposer is continuing to liaise with NESO Legal in the background. The Legal text is at an advanced stage, with the intention of finalising it for the next workgroup meeting. The Chair confirmed the action would remain open and is expected to be closed at the final workgroup meeting once the Legal text is agreed.

**Action 7 (Ongoing):** The draft Legal text is now available in the collaboration space, with Workgroup members invited to review and suggest edits. The action remains open to allow continued review and input via the collaboration space.

**Action 8 (Closed):** The Proposer explained that no additional changes are proposed within the current solution; instead, affected parties would review impacts over a six-month post implementation period. The Proposer of CMP417 confirmed that any abortive works costs would still be recovered and that, if unintended behaviours emerged, these would be addressed through a potential follow up modification rather than changes to the current solution. This issue was noted as having arisen from consultation responses and being aligned with CMP417. The Chair confirmed the position was agreed, recorded in the Workgroup Report, and the action could be closed.

## Public

**Action 9 (Closed):** The Proposer confirmed that no change is proposed within the current solution, as any abortive works costs would continue to be recovered (consistent with Action 8). The position is that this issue will be monitored post-implementation and only revisited if evidence of unintended behaviour emerges. The Chair confirmed that this position is reflected in the Workgroup Report and that the action could be closed, subject to any further comments being raised through the collaboration space.

**Action 10 (Closed):** The Proposer of CMP417 confirmed that several meetings had taken place since the previous Workgroup and that a way forward had been agreed. The agreed next step is for relevant data to be provided by 3 April which will inform understanding of how CM093 interacts with wider demand connections reform and availability considerations. The Chair confirmed the action could be closed.

## Proposer's Update

The Proposer confirmed that Legal text feedback has been incorporated into the draft Legal text, following an offline session held last week, and that the text has progressed further since then. The Proposer presented the key issues and the latest version of the Legal text to the meeting. The updated Legal text is available in the collaboration space, and Workgroup members were encouraged to continue reviewing and providing comments.

Ongoing engagement with NESO Legal is underway, including plans for a further formal discussion to ensure all Legal considerations are addressed, with the aim of presenting a finalised version of the Legal text for approval at the next workgroup meeting. The Proposer reiterated that the intention remains to align implementation with CMP417.

## Draft Workgroup Report

The Chair led a page-by-page review of the draft Workgroup Report. One Workgroup member raised a concern regarding the implementation timeline. **ACTION 11** was opened to clarify and investigate this concern: "Clarify transmission owner requirements around pre-implementation notice periods, including the interaction between the 82- day data provision requirement, implementation lead---in time, and Ofgem decision timing / Ensure the implementation timeline is accurately reflected in the CM093 (and aligned CMP417) Workgroup Report before finalisation." The Proposers of CM093 and CMP417 agreed to meet the Workgroup member to clarify and understand the issue.

## Public

The Chair shared that the draft Workgroup Report would be available to Workgroup members to review and provide comments after the meeting via the collaboration space.

## CMP417 Update

The CMP417 Proposer provided an update on coordination with CMP417, noting that the two workgroups (CMP417 and CM093) remain closely aligned. Confirming that recent CMP417 discussions focused on the hybrid site scenario, reiterating that no additional solution changes are proposed where a smaller technology at a hybrid site is cancelled. Instead, any abortive works costs would continue to be recovered, which was identified as the principal risk in that scenario. The CMP417 Proposer explained that consistent with CM093, the approach is to monitor behaviour post-implementation and only consider a follow-on modification if evidence of unintended outcomes emerges. The CMP417 Proposer confirmed that implementation planning and timelines, including the D&O process, have been reviewed within CMP417, and that the latest draft Legal text has been considered and confirmed that CMP417 and CM093 are progressing in lockstep, with no material divergence between the two modifications.

## AOB

One Workgroup member repeated a previously noted concern regarding the implementation timeline, which is captured above under the Draft Workgroup Report section.

## Next Steps

The next Workgroup will be on 09 April, where the Legal text and Workgroup Report will be finalised, and the Workgroup Vote will take place.

## Action log

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
4	WG3	SB	Align the legal text of CM093 with CMP417 and CMP103 to avoid discrepancies between codes.	WG4	Open

## Public

			SB to consult with and stakeholders to ensure the alignment of definitions and processes across the STC and CUSC.		
7	WG6	SB	Share the draft legal text for CM093 via email with Workgroup members for review by their internal teams. Offline discussions will be arranged to refine the legal text collaboratively.	WG7	Open
8	WG6	SB	Monitor the behaviour of hybrid sites post-implementation to assess whether further modifications are needed.	WG7	Closed
9	WG6	SB	Review a potential change to retain wider cancellation charges for capacity reductions as a compromise solution for hybrid sites.	WG7	Closed
10	WG6	ALL	MC to conduct further analysis on the interaction between CM093 and the wider demand connections reform.  Workgroup members to share their availability for discussions on this topic.	WG7	Closed
11	WG7	SB/MC/RH	Clarify transmission owner requirements around pre-implementation notice periods, including the interaction between the 82-day data provision requirement, implementation lead-in time, and Ofgem decision timing.  Ensure the implementation timeline is accurately reflected in	WG8	Open

## Public

the CM093 (and aligned CMP417)  
Workgroup Report before  
finalisation."

## Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Rob Hughes	RH	NESO	Chair
Andrew Hemus	AH	NESO	Technical Secretary
Steve Baker	SB	NESO	Proposer
Gareth Williams	GW	SPT	Workgroup Member
Harriet Eckweiler	HE	SHET	Workgroup Member Alternate
Martin Cahill	MC	NESO	Observer
Matthew Paige-Stimson	MPS	NGET	Workgroup Member
Sean Nugent	SN	NESO	Observer