

Public

Code Administrator Meeting

Summary

Workgroup Meeting 8: CMP445: Pro-rating first year TNUoS for Generators

Date: 01 December 2025

Contact Details

Chair: Kat Higby, katharine.higby@neso.energy

Proposer: Angus Armstrong, angus.armstrong@oceawinds.com

Key areas of discussion

The key areas of discussion included an Action Log update, reviewing the Workgroup Report and Legal Text for Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification 1 (WACM1) and WACM2.

Action Log Review

Action 33 (Action ongoing) Pending update at the next meeting.

Action 34 (Action closed) A note was added in the Workgroup Report about the exclusion of profiling as an option.

Action 35 (Action closed) The NESO Representative clarified how the modification applies to sites with shared tech, noting it is not in scope and applies to pro-rating of the period, not charging principles for connection.

Action 36 (Action closed) A section on Retrospectivity debate was sent to Workgroup members ahead of the meeting to be reviewed.

Action 37 (Action ongoing) This action remains open pending input from the NESO SME.

Workgroup Report Review

The Chair reviewed the comments and feedback on the draft Workgroup Report and made the relevant updates.

Public

A Workgroup member outlined the rationale for retroactive charging, referencing Ofgem's criteria for retrospectivity, including fault or error, unforeseeable circumstances, and prior flagging, and detailed the material impact on affected Generators. This will be further discussed in Workgroup 9.

WACM1 and WACM2 Legal Text Update

The Legal Text will be updated to clarify the process for handling cases where output data is missing, ensuring the explanation aligns with the current charging methodology and is included in the Workgroup Report.

Next Steps

The Chair suggested all Workgroup Members review the Workgroup Report before the next meeting.

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action	Workgroup	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
Number	Raised				
33	WG7	DC	Review notes and provide specific examples of potential unintended consequences such as incentives for early closure or seasonal defects for Workgroup discussion.	WG8	Open
34	WG7	SD/SN	Add a note in the Workgroup Report about the discussion and exclusion of profiling as an option.	WG8	Closed
35	WG7	SD	Clarify how the modification applies to sites with shared TEC.	WG8	Closed

Public

36	WG7	AA/GM	Expand the section on retrospectivity debate, including why this year is exceptional and the precedent issue.	WG8	Closed
37	WG7	DH	Clarify the outcome/process for negative charging zones where peak output figures are not available	WG8	Open
38	WG8	AA/GM/SD	Finalise Legal Text wording and circulate for review before the next meeting	WG9	Open
39	WG8	All	Prepare comments on the new retrospectivity/retroactivity section for discussion and agreement at the next meeting	WG9	Open

Public

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Kat Higby	KH	NESO, Code Administrator	Chair
Prisca Evans	PE	NESO, Code Administrator	Tech Sec
Angus Armstrong	AA	Ocean Winds	Proposer
Stephen Dale	SD	NESO	NESO Representative
Sean Nugent	SN	NESO	Workgroup Member
Marc Smeed	MS	Corio Generation	Workgroup Member
Andrew Colley	AC	SSE	Workgroup Member Alternate
Mar McCabe	MM	Boralex	Workgroup Member
Graz Macdonald	GM	Waters Wye (Brockwell Energy)	Workgroup Member Alternate
Hector Perez	HP	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup Member Alternate
Richard Buckland	RB	Brockwell Energy	Workgroup Member
Louis Sandiford	LS	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Archie Campbell	AC	Zenobe Energy	Workgroup Member
Chiamaka Nwajagu	CN	Orsted	Observer