

Public

Code Administrator Meeting

Summary

Workgroup Meeting 6: CMP445: Pro-rating first year TNUoS for Generators

Date: 09 October 2025

Contact Details

Chair: Kat Higby, katharine.higby@neso.energy

Proposer: Angus Armstrong, angus.armstrong@oceanwinds.com

Key areas of discussion

The key areas of discussion included reviewing the Workgroup Report, reviewing the legal text for Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification 1 (WACM1), discussing the proposed WACM2 and carrying out the stage 1 Alternative Vote for Alternative Request 2.

Action Log Review

Action 24 (Action closed) The legal text for WACM1 was drafted and shared on the collaboration space.

Action 25 (Action closed) The Legal Text for Alternative Request 2 was circulated to workgroup members.

Action 25 (Action closed) The Workgroup Consultation responses was shared with new members by email.

Action 27 (Action ongoing) This action remained open because discussions with the Revenue Team are still ongoing.

Action 28 (Action closed) The vote was held during the meeting.

Action 29 (Action ongoing) This action remained open because, from a governance perspective, the Section 6 change could not be included directly in WACM1 and needed to be processed as a consequential change, which is still under review.

WACM1 Legal Text Review

The Proposer explained that the intention behind the Legal Text changes is to ensure that both new and existing sites are covered, applying the principle that Generators pay only

Public

for the capacity they use, both at the start and end of a site's lifecycle, and to avoid the need for future modifications for decommissioning scenarios.

The Workgroup Members agreed that the Legal Texts for WACM1, WACM2, and the Original Proposal should be aligned to avoid confusion and ensure consistency.

The Chair clarified that after the proposers align the legal texts, the updated version will be shared with the Workgroup Members for review and comments to ensure all Members can provide input before final agreement.

Alternative Request 2 (WACM2)

The WACM2 Proposer explained that the Alternative Proposal charges parties for the capacity available to them daily, with the main distinction from the original being its retrospective application to the 2024/25 charging year, justified by recent changes in network delivery impacting Generators.

A Workgroup Member questioned the risks of making the change retrospective and setting a precedent. The WACM2 Proposer advised that any shortfall would be covered by demand charges. Noting that the situation is unique because of recent network delivery issues.

A Workgroup Member provided figures indicating that 152 projects with 2250 MW of capacity could be affected by the retrospective application, clarifying that this represents a small fraction of the total and includes only one step-up project.

Alternative Request 2 Vote (WACM2)

The Workgroup Members voted on whether Alternative Request 2 should become WACM2, comparing it to the Original Proposal. The Majority voted that Alternative 2 better facilitated the CUSC Applicable Objectives than the Original Proposal, voting the Proposal in as WACM2.

Workgroup Report Review

The Workgroup Members discussed the need to clarify the language in the report regarding decommissioning and permanent changes in capacity, agreeing to update the summary to reflect that the proposal covers both increases and decreases in tech, not just full decommissioning.

Public

Next Steps

The Chair suggested all Workgroup Members review the Workgroup Report. Checking all new sections and ensuring all feedback and discussions are accurately captured before the next meeting.

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
24	WG5	SD/SN	Draft and circulate the Legal Text for WACM1	WG6	Closed
25	WG5	RB/GM	Draft Legal Text for WACM2 (if voted in), ensuring alignment with WACM1 except for retrospectivity.	WG6	Closed
26	WG5	KH	Share Workgroup Consultation responses with new Workgroup Members.	WG6	Closed
27	WG5	SD/SN	Catch up with the Revenue Team to provide numbers on how many plants would be affected by retrospective application.	WG7	Open
28	WG5	KH	Propose dates and schedule an extra meeting for the WACM2 vote	WG6	Closed
29	WG5	SD	Raise consequential change in Section 6 and include as part of WACM1	WG6	Open
30	WG6	SD	Review and consolidate the three sets of Legal Texts	WG7	Open

Public

31	WG6	KH	Share the aligned Legal Text on the Collaboration space	WG7	Open
32	WG6	GM	Review and update the summary for WACM2 in the Workgroup Report and add a one-liner describing the Legal Text difference.	WG7	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Kat Higby	KH	NESO, Code Administrator	Chair
Prisca Evans	PE	NESO, Code Administrator	Tech Sec
Angus Armstrong	AA	Ocean Winds	Proposer
Stephen Dale	SD	NESO	NESO Representative
Sean Nugent	SN	NESO	Workgroup Member
Graz Macdonald	GM	Waters Wye (Brockwell Energy)	Workgroup Member Alternate
Varun Mittal	MS	Corio Generation	Workgroup Member Alternate
Damian Clough	DC	SSE Generation	Workgroup Member Alternate
Louis Sandiford	LS	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Archie Campbell	AC	Zenobe Energy	Workgroup Member
Chiamaka Nwajagu	CN	Orsted	Observer