

Public

Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Workgroup Meeting 4: CMP445: Pro-rating first year TNUoS for Generators

Date: 15/07/2025

Contact Details

Chair: Kat Higby, katharine.higby@nationalenergyso.com

Proposer: Angus Armstrong, angus.armstrong@oceanwinds.com

Key areas of discussion

The key areas of discussion included updates on outstanding actions, review and refinement of the Workgroup Consultation document. Discussion and vote on progressing Alternative Request 1 (Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification 1 (WACMI)) and review of the draft Legal Text.

Action Log Review

The Chair reviewed the outstanding actions, closing several actions, and noted the remaining open action to ensure the workgroup report covers the extensive discussions had on over and under recovery and its impact was still in progress.

Legal Text Discussion

Pro- Rata Charging: The National Energy System Operator (NESO) Representative clarified the confusion between daily and monthly pro rata charging, explaining that the confusion stemmed from the difference between daily and monthly pro rata charging. Adding that the revenue team found daily charging easier and more accurate than the current approach. They did not express any concerns about the figures discussed, indicating that the proposed method would be more predictable and accurate.

The Proposer suggested updating the legal text to simplify the calculation by dividing the number of days left in the year by the number of days in the year. This method aligns with the proposed pro rata charging approach. The NESO Representative agreed that both the proposed method and the current method are similar in their outcome. The focus is on simplifying the legal text to make the calculation straightforward and easy to understand.





Alternative Request I (WACM)

The WACM proposes applying pro rata charging not only for initial connection but also for permanent reductions in Transmission Entry Capacity (TEC), such as decommissioning or closure of generation stations or phases.

The NESO Representative explained the proposal seeks to avoid potential gaming or loopholes by defining what constitutes a "permanent" reduction and considering appropriate dormant periods to distinguish between temporary outages and true decommissioning.

The Workgroup discussed the interaction of the WACM with notice periods, reconciliation processes, and the impact on tariff adjustments, ensuring that existing requirements (such as notice for disconnection) remain unchanged. There was consensus that the WACM would benefit other users and the system, but concerns were raised about possible risks (e.g., stranded debt, temporary reductions) and the need for clear legal text and governance.

Workgroup Consultation Discussion / Review

The Workgroup reviewed and updated of the Workgroup Consultation document, including the addition of questions about the alternative proposal and pro rata charging approach.

Next Steps

The Workgroup Consultation document will be updated and shared on the collaboration space for final review.

Actions

For the full action log, click here.

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
10	WG2	SD	Discuss and potentially raise an Alternative modification that includes both the initial	WG3	Closed

• • • • • • • • • •



Public					
			connection and disconnection scenarios.		
13	WG3	SD/SN	Consult with the Revenue Team to confirm the preferred approach for daily versus monthly pro rata charging.	WG4	Closed
14	WG3	SD/SN	Consult with the Revenue Team to confirm the appropriate wording for Question 7 regarding negative charging zones.	WG4	Closed
15	WG3	SD/SN	Consult with the revenue team to determine if immediate tariff changes are necessary and update the Workgroup Consultation document accordingly.	WG4	Closed
16	WG3	КН	Change the wording in the Legal Text to "a relevant charging date" and share it back on the collaboration space.	WG4	Closed
17	WG3	DC	Ensure the Workgroup Report covers the discussion on over and under recovery and its impact.	WG4	Open
18	WG4	SD	Consult with the Revenue Team to clarify the reconciliation approach for both positive and negative charging zones, including how charges would be calculated if peak output data is unavailable.	WG5	Open
19	WG4	SD	Confirm definition of a "chargeable day" and confirm	WG5	Open



Public					
			how this should be interpreted for charging purposes.		
20	WG4	GP	Update the wording in the workgroup consultation to accurately reflect the treatment of negative charging zones and ensure clarity for readers.	WG5	Open
21	WG4	SD/AA	Refine and finalise the Legal Text for the daily charging section.	WG5	Open
22	WG4	SD/AA	Ensure the Alternative Request (WACM) Legal Text is developed in line with the baseline, with further review and input from the legal team before circulation.	WG5	Open
23	WG4	KH	Update the Workgroup Consultation document with the agreed changes and circulate it to members for review, with a deadline for feedback and updates by Friday 18 July 2025.	WG5	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Kat Higby	KH	NESO, Code Administrator	Chair
Prisca Evans	PE	NESO, Code Administrator	Tech Sec
Angus Armstrong	AA	Ocean Winds	Proposer
Steve Dale	SD	NESO	NESO Representative
Alan Kelly	AK	Corio Generation	Workgroup Member
Chiamaka	CN	Orsted	Observer
Nwajagu			

• • • • • • • • •



Public

Damian Clough	DC	SSE Generation	Workgroup Member
			Alternate
Eugenia Pascual	EP	Abei Energy	Observer
Graham Pannell	GP	BayWa r.e.	Workgroup Member
Hector Perez	HP	SP Renewables	Workgroup Member
			Alternate
Louis Sandiford	LS	Ofgem	Authority Representative

• • • • • • • • •