

Public

Code Administrator Meeting

Summary

Workgroup Meeting 10: Re-introduction of Demand TNUoS locational signals by removal of the zero-price floor.

Date: 11 September 2025

Contact Details

Chair: Robert Hughes, Robert.hughes@neso.energy

Proposer: Lauren Jauss, lauren.jauss@rwe.com

Key areas of discussion

The Chair outlined the primary objective of the meeting, which was to cast a vote on the alternative proposal presented to the Workgroup members.

Timeline adjustments

The Chair confirmed that the project timeline is currently on track, with all key milestones being met as planned. The Workgroup is maintaining progress according to the original schedule.

The Chair noted that the upcoming Workgroup meeting would need to be rescheduled due to Annual Leave and would inform Workgroup members of alternative date options.

Scope and outcome of EDF Alternative Request

The Chair explained that the EDF alternative request was reviewed by the Code Governance management team and legal team, both of whom concluded that the request is out of scope because the Original proposal was tightly defined to affect only final demand users.

The Chair advised of the available options such as raising a new modification and suggesting the storage working group as another forum for further discussion on the issue.

Public

Statkraft Alternative Proposal

The Proposer outlined the Statkraft Alternative Request, explaining that it maintains the current methodology for positive zones but reinstates a locational signal for negative zones by applying a pence per kWh tariff over year-round consumption, aiming to improve cost reflectivity and avoid distorting operational signals.

The Proposer described the calculation steps, including using model outputs for pounds per kilowatt, multiplying by zonal demand, and dividing by total year-round consumption, with supporting analysis from Frontier and CP30 plans to justify the year-round approach for negative zones.

Voting outcome

The Chair explained the two-stage voting process, and the Workgroup proceeded to vote, using Stage One of the process. By a majority of 6 to 1, the eligible members voted in favour of progressing the Statkraft alternative as a WACM, to be incorporated into the workgroup report and supported by new legal text.

Workgroup Report and legal text

The Chair outlined the next steps for the Workgroup, focusing on preparing a Draft Workgroup Report and developing legal text for both the original proposal and the newly approved WACM1.

Public

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

| Action Number | Workgroup Raised | Owner | Action | Due by | Status |
|---------------|------------------|-------|--|---------|--------|
| 18 | WG6 | LJ/PM | Develop the legal text on the current CUSC section 14 baseline. | Ongoing | Open |
| 21 | WG10 | RH/SW | Send out options for the next workgroup meeting date, via a Doodle poll. | WG11 | Open |

Attendees

| Name | Initial | Company | Role |
|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Robert Hughes | RH | NESO Code Administrator | Chair |
| Sarah Williams | SW | NESO Code Administrator | Tech Secretary |
| Lauren Jauss | LJ | RWE | Proposer |
| Alex Savvides | AS | Statkraft | Workgroup member |
| Damian Clough | DC | SSE | Workgroup member |
| George Douthwaite | GD | ITP Energised | Observer |
| Louis Sandiford | LS | Ofgem | Authority Representative |
| Niall Coyle | NC | NESO | NESO Representative |

Public

| | | | |
|----------------|----|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Nina Sanghera | NS | Drax | Workgroup member Alternate |
| Paul Mott | PM | NESO | NESO Representative |
| Robert Longden | RL | Cornwall Insight | Workgroup member |
| Simon Vicary | SV | EDF | Workgroup member |