CMP447: Removal of designated strategic works from cancellation charges/securitisation

Workgroup 12, 08 September 2025 Online Meeting via Teams





# Agenda

| Topics to be discussed  | Lead  |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Welcome                 | Chair |
| Timeline review         | Chair |
| Action Log Review       | Chair |
| Workgroup Report Review | All   |
| Workgroup Vote          | All   |
| Any Other Business      | Chair |
| Next Steps              | Chair |



### **Expectations of a Workgroup Member**

Contribute to the discussion

Be respectful of each other's opinions

Language and
Conduct to be
consistent with the
values of equality
and diversity

Do not share commercially sensitive information

Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings

Complete actions in a timely manner

Keep to agreed scope

Email communications to/cc'ing the .box email

#### **Your Roles**

Help refine/develop the solution(s)

Bring forward alternatives as early as possible

Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code
Objectives



### Workgroup Membership

| Role             | Name                     | Company                          |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Proposer         | Harvey Takhar            | NESO                             |
| Workgroup Member | Alan Kelly/Marc<br>Smeed | Corio Generation                 |
| Workgroup Member | Als Scrope               | Northland Power                  |
| Workgroup Member | Barney Cowin             | Blue Float                       |
| Workgroup Member | Calum Duff               | Bowdun Offshore Wind Farm        |
| Workgroup Member | Calum MacDonald          | Point and Sandwick Trust         |
| Workgroup Member | Charles Yates            | Muir Mhor Offshore Wind Farm Ltd |
| Workgroup Member | Ciaran Fitzgerald        | Scottish Power Renewables        |
| Workgroup Member | Damian Clough            | SSE                              |
| Workgroup Member | Dennis Gowland           | Research Relay Ltd               |
| Workgroup Member | Glenn Smith              | EDF Energy Renewables            |
| Workgroup Member | Holly Thomas             | Scottish Renewables              |
| Workgroup Member | Joe Colebrook            | Innova                           |
| Workgroup Member | Kirsty Dawson            | Statkraft                        |





| Role                     | Name                | Company                          |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Workgroup Member         | Kyle Murchie        | Roadnight Taylor                 |
| Workgroup Member         | Lenka Peskova       | ESB Generation and Trading       |
| Workgroup Member         | Mark McCabe         | Boralex Limited                  |
| Workgroup Member         | Matthew Dowds       | Muirhall Energy                  |
| Workgroup Member         | Neil Bennett        | SSEN Transmission                |
| Workgroup Member         | Patrick Smart       | RES UK & IRELAND LIMITED         |
| Workgroup Member         | Paul Youngman       | Drax                             |
| Workgroup Member         | Richard Blanchfield | Talisk-Magnora Offshore Wind Ltd |
| Workgroup Member         | Sam Aitchison       | Island Green Power               |
| Workgroup Member         | Tim Ellingham       | RWE                              |
| Workgroup Observer       | Gareth Williams     | SPEN                             |
| Workgroup Observer       | Iwan Watkin         | Jacobs                           |
| Authority Representative | Christopher Patrick | Ofgem                            |



### What is the Alternative Request?

What is an Alternative Request? The formal starting point for a Workgroup Alternative Modification to be developed which can be raised up until the Workgroup Vote.

What do I need to include in my Alternative Request form? The requirements are the same for a Modification Proposal you need to articulate in writing:

- a description (in reasonable but not excessive detail) of the issue or defect which the proposal seeks to address compared to the current proposed solution(s);
- the reasons why the you believe that the proposed alternative request would better facilitate the Applicable Objectives compared with the current proposed solution(s) together with background information;
- where possible, an indication of those parts of the Code which would need amending in order to give effect to (and/or would otherwise be affected by) the proposed alterative request and an indication of the impacts of those amendments or effects; and
- where possible, an indication of the impact of the proposed alterative request on relevant computer systems and processes.

How do Alternative Requests become formal Workgroup Alternative Modifications? The Workgroup will carry out a Vote on Alternatives Requests. If the majority of the Workgroup members or the Workgroup Chair believe the Alternative Request will better facilitate the Applicable Objectives than the current proposed solution(s), the Workgroup will develop it as a Workgroup Alternative Modification.


Who develops the legal text for Workgroup Alternative Modifications? NESO will assist Proposers and Workgroups with the production of draft legal text once a clear solution has been developed to support discussion and understanding of the Workgroup Alternative Modifications.



### CMP447 Urgent Timeline as of 07 August 2025

| Milestone  | Date  | Milestone   | Date  |
|--|---|---|---|
| Modification presented to Panel (Urgency request)                                | 09 June 2025  | Code Administrator Consultation (10 Business Days)                          | 19 September – 03<br>October 2025               |
| Further Workgroup Nominations (5 Business Days)                                  | 09 June – 16 June 2025  | Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel (3 Business Days)    | 09 October 2025                                 |
| Ofgem grant Urgency  | 13 June 2025<br>(5pm)   | Panel undertake Recommendation Vote   | 15 October 2025                                 |
| Workgroup 1 – 5  | 20 June 2025 (1)<br>26 June 2025 (2)<br>03 July 2025 (3)<br>10 July 2025 (4)<br>17 July 2025 (5)  | Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly | 15 October 2025                                 |
| Workgroup Consultation (10 Business Days)  | 21 July - 04 August 2025  | Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem                                   | 15 October 2025                                 |
| Workgroup 6 – 11 – Assess Workgroup<br>Consultation Responses and Workgroup Vote | 12 August 2025 (6)<br>18 August 2025 (7)<br>28 August 2025 (8)<br>01 September 2025 (9)<br>02 September 2025 (10)<br>08 September 2025 (11) | Ofgem decision  | TBC   |
| Workgroup Report issued to Panel (3 Business<br>Days)                            | 12 September 2025   | Implementation Date   | 10 Business days<br>after Authority<br>decision |
| Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference              | 18 September 2025   |   | NESO  |

## **Action Log Review**







| Action | Description   | Owner | Due  | Status       |
|--------|---|-------|------|--------------|
| 27     | Further refine the language within the CUSC Section 15 Guidance Note based on<br>Workgroup feedback       | РМ/НТ | WG10 | To be closed |
| 29     | A review of the forward timeline and implementation steps to be added to the agenda for the next meeting. | КН    | WG12 | To be closed |
| 30     | Provide a revised, clearer process diagram for inclusion in the Workgroup Report.                         | НТ    | WG12 | To be closed |




**Workgroup Report Review** 



### **Workgroup Vote**



### **Any Other Business**



### **Next Steps**

