

Public

Final Modification Report		
<h1>GC0174: Review of obligations to provide EU Transparency Availability Data as specified in OC2.4.7</h1> <p>Overview: Following the approval of BSC Modification CP1583 (Rationalising publication of European Transparency Regulation data on Elexon Systems), the obligation for parties to submit EU Transparency Availability Data as specified in OC2.4.7 requires review.</p>	<h2>Modification process & timetable</h2> <div><div>1</div><div>2</div><div>3</div><div>4</div><div>5</div><div>6</div><div>7</div></div> <div><div>Proposal Form 27 June 2024</div><div>Workgroup Consultation 28 February – 21 March 2025</div><div>Workgroup Report 18 June 2025</div><div>Code Administrator Consultation 27 June 2025 – 28 July 2025</div><div>Draft Final Modification Report 13 August 2025</div><div>Final Modification Report 03 September 2025</div><div>Implementation 10 Business Days following Authority decision</div></div>	
	<p>Have 5 minutes? Read our Executive summary</p> <p>Have 60 minutes? Read the full Final Modification Report</p> <p>Have 120 minutes? Read the full Final Modification Report and Annexes.</p>	
	<p>Status summary: This report has been submitted to the Authority for them to decide whether this change should happen.</p>	
	<p>Panel recommendation: The Panel has recommended unanimously that the Proposer’s solution is implemented.</p>	
	<p>This modification is expected to have a: Low impact on Generators, non–embedded Customers and National Energy System Operator.</p>	
	<p>Modification drivers: Cross–code change, efficiency and harmonisation</p>	
	Governance route	Standard Governance modification with assessment by a Workgroup
Who can I talk to about the change?	<p>Proposer: Frank Kasibante Frank.kasibantel@neso.energy Phone: 07812 774066</p>	<p>Code Administrator Chair: Ren Walker Lurrentia.walker@neso.energy Phone: 07976 940855</p>

Public

Contents

Executive Summary.....	3
What is the issue?.....	4
Why change?.....	4
What is the solution?.....	5
Proposer's solution.....	5
Workgroup considerations	5
What is the impact of this change?.....	10
Proposer's assessment against Code Objectives	10
Workgroup Vote	11
Code Administrator Consultation Summary	12
Panel Recommendation vote	13
When will this change take place?.....	16
Interactions	17
Acronyms, key terms and reference material	17
Annexes.....	18

Public

Executive Summary

Following the United Kingdom (UK) leaving the European Union (EU), the UK Government has incorporated various EU regulations into Assimilated Law with some changes to certain requirements. The European Transparency Regulation (ETR) (Commission Regulation (EU) 543/2013) has been incorporated into Assimilated Law with minimal changes to the text. However, details relating to the central publishing platform have changed. The Assimilated Law has changed the “Central Information Transparency Platform” to the “Reporting Service”, which is currently the Elexon Insights [website REMIT page](#).

What is the issue?

Following approval of CPI583 (Rationalising publication of European Transparency Regulation (ETR) data on Elexon Systems), ([Change Proposal I583](#)), on 02 November 2023, there are now options for the relevant parties as to how they submit legacy ETR data in respect of Articles 7 to 15 of Retained EU Law (Commission Regulation (EU) 543/2013), to notify changes in unavailability of generation or consumption units. Currently this data must be submitted via the Market Operation Data Interface System (MODIS) as specified in Operating Code (OC)2.4.7 of the Grid Code. However, going forward REMIT data can be used, and the Grid Code needs to be amended to include the options.

What is the solution and when will it come into effect?

Proposer’s solution: The Proposer’s solution is to amend Grid Code Section OC2.4.7 as this references the requirements to provide specific data detailed in Schedule 6 of the Data Registration Code (DRC), which is also proposed to be amended under this proposal.

Implementation date: 10 Business Days following Authority Decision

What is the impact if this change is made?

There will be a minimal impact on Users regarding REMIT obligations by amending OC2.4.7.

Workgroup conclusions: The Workgroup concluded unanimously that the Original better facilitated the Applicable Objectives than the Baseline.

Code Administrator Consultation: The Code Administrator Consultation received 1 non-confidential response (and 0 confidential responses).

Panel recommendation: The Panel has recommended unanimously that the Proposer’s solution is implemented.

Interactions

This modification has been raised as a result of an approved BSC modification [CPI583](#), and has no further interactions with other modifications. GC0174 has an EBR Impact, as the DRC is listed within the EBR Mapping table. However, this is not a material impact, due to the proposed solution.

Public

What is the issue?

CPI583 (Rationalising publication of European Transparency Regulation (ETR) data on Elexon Systems) is a Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) modification. It was raised by Elexon to review the ETR data provided by Market Participants and NESO which, pre-January 2021, was submitted to the European Network of Transmission Operators for Electricity (ENTSO-E) data platform, known as the Electricity Market Fundamental Information Platform (EMFIP), via the Balancing Mechanism Reporting Service (BMRS).

Due to the UK leaving the European Union (EU), there was no longer a legal requirement to submit the ETR data to ENTSO-E after January 2021, but it has continued to be published on BMRS (which on 01 June 2024, was replaced by the Elexon Insights Solution). CPI583 ([Change Proposal 1583](#)) identified a number of reports where this data is already being sent as part of the obligations under REMIT (Regulation (EU) No 1227/2011 on wholesale energy market integrity and transparency) or has no data reported.

Therefore, following the approval of CPI583 on 02 November 2023, the obligation for parties to submit EU Transparency Availability Data to NESO via the Market Operation Data Interface System (MODIS) as specified in Operating Code (OC)2.4.7 is no longer required.

Why change?

In the Grid Code, relevant Users are obliged to provide EU Transparency Availability Data specified in OC2.4.7 in accordance with Schedule 6 of the Data Registration Code (DRC), which is sent via MODIS to Elexon.

A Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) modification [CPI583](#) 'Rationalising publication of European Transparency Regulation (ETR) data on Elexon Systems' recognised that data being submitted for REMIT meets the obligations for relevant Users to submit the ETR data specified in OC2.4.7 in accordance with Schedule 6 of the Data Registration Code (DRC). Hence, Users who submit REMIT data directly to Elexon via REMIT do not need to also submit the data to NESO, via MODIS, to Elexon. Note that when Users use REMIT data for compliance with OC.2.4.7 purposes, central publication of that data on the Elexon Insights website REMIT page is mandatory, unlike other REMIT requirements.

The approved BSC modification CPI583 removes the obligation for relevant Users to submit this data to NESO as it is either no longer required or is already submitted via REMIT, which is an Ofgem enforced obligation that sits outside of the Grid Code.

Operating Code (OC)2.4.7 was never intended to cover REMIT obligations, and this proposal does not remove the obligations under REMIT for relevant Users. Elexon has

Public

now also moved from BMRS to a new data platform (Elexon Insights Solution), and as a result, any data submitted to BMRS will no longer be published.

What is the solution?

Proposer's solution

Initially the proposer's solution was to remove the obligation for the EU Transparency Availability Data currently specified in OC.2.4.7. in accordance with Schedule 6 of the Data Registration Code (DRC) to be sent from relevant Users to NESO via MODIS and then to Elexon. However, following the workgroup considerations described in the next section, it was changed to retain the existing text but modify it to permit Users to submit the data to Elexon either directly using REMIT or via MODIS.

Workgroup considerations

The Workgroup convened four times to discuss the identified issue within the scope of the defect, develop potential solutions, and evaluate the proposal in relation to the Applicable Code Objectives.

The Workgroup held their Workgroup Consultation between 28 February – 21 March 2025 and received one response. The full response can be found in **Annex 04**.

Consideration of the Proposer's solution

The Proposer gave a presentation to the Workgroup to outline the proposal (which can be found in **Annex 01**). The Proposer stated that REMIT is a regulatory requirement enforced by Ofgem. The submission of REMIT data is not a Grid Code obligation, with Users encouraged, but not mandated, to submit this data either directly to Elexon or via MODIS through Elexon modification P291, 'REMIT Inside Information Reporting Platform for GB Electricity'. The obligations for submitting ETR data is an obligation under the Grid Code, as it requires Users to submit the data via NESO (MODIS).

The Proposer explained that the BSC documents the submission of "Inside Information Data", but again, submission of REMIT data is not a BSC obligation, as parties may submit this data via Elexon (through the Insights platform). Operating Code (OC)2.4.7 and Schedule 6 of the Data Registration Code (DRC), were introduced as part of Grid Code Modification GC0083, which specifically covered the implementation of the European Transparency Regulation (543/2013), with the REMIT obligations in existence prior to the requirements of ETR. OC2.4.7 was never intended to cover REMIT obligations.

REMIT is broader than the specific obligations under OC2.4.7 and covers other assets as well as generation and consumption units, and other categories of inside information. It

Public

also does not specify any thresholds for reporting such as the 100MW threshold in the ETR Article 7 and 15 regulations.

The Proposer confirmed that, in their opinion, there is no impact on Users regarding REMIT obligations by amending OC2.4.7, as REMIT obligations are enforced by Ofgem through relevant regulations and the specific clause that NESO is proposing to remove from the Grid Code was never intended to cover REMIT obligations.

Consideration of other options

Some Workgroup members stated that a further legal view was required to provide clarity on aspects of the proposed solution, in particular:

- How ETR data is considered to be different to the REMIT data under EU legislation, acknowledging the implementation of relevant previous Code modifications ([P291](#): REMIT inside information reporting platform and GB Electricity, [P295](#): Submission and publication of transparency regulation data via the BMRS and [GC0083](#): European transparency regulation implementation).
- Compliance requirements under the proposed solution.

Workgroup members expressed concerns that if the ETR Article 7 to 15 obligation is removed, any remaining REMIT obligation should not only cover the ETR data publication requirement for notification of availability changes, but also the obligation to publish on the GB single inside information platform (Elexon Insights), which is currently specified in OC2.4.7 for ETR but not anywhere at present as a Grid Code obligation for REMIT.

The NESO SME explained that REMIT and ETR are independent regulations, with REMIT obligations existing separately from the Grid Code. Removing ETR from the Grid Code would not affect the REMIT obligation as this is separate and would still exist in primary legislation, ensuring that the requirement to submit REMIT data remains intact. A Workgroup member disagreed with this view and stated that the requirement to submit REMIT data is specified in the MODIS document, therefore there is a requirement to retain the REMIT data to ensure compliance. They suggested that the MODIS document should be updated to clearly specify the data submission requirements and prevent any duplication of data.

Workgroup members agreed that there is a need to clarify the data submission requirements to ensure that all parties understand their obligations. They discussed the importance of having clear and concise requirements to avoid confusion and ensure compliance.

Public

Compliance with ETR and REMIT Data overlap

The NESO SME clarified that while the method of REMIT data submission is not mandatory, most parties choose to submit it through MODIS or directly to the Elexon portal. This voluntary submission helps to ensure that the data is collected and available for analysis. The SME explained that a single information platform for GB REMIT data was introduced with ETR and MODIS to centralise data submission and ensure that all necessary data is collected in one place, meaning that Parties who submit the data have the option to submit this through MODIS or directly to the Elexon portal. This flexibility allows parties to choose the method that works best for them while ensuring that the data is submitted as required. Workgroup members agreed that as part of this proposal, there was a need to retain the requirement for a central UK database to ensure compliance with ETR, which would maintain data integrity and ensure that all parties meet their obligations.

The Proposer agreed that this should be reflected in the legal text and proposed to make an amendment to the legal text to update the platform references to reflect the current data submission process.

Legal View and Legal Text

The NESO Legal colleague attended a Workgroup meeting to further understand the Workgroup concerns regarding the proposed legal text. Workgroup members explained that they had concerns with the deletion of OC2.4.7 containing the ETR articles 7 to 15 obligations. A Workgroup member commented their belief was when the ETR was introduced, it was a requirement to publish data on a central database which was in Europe and believes this is still a UK requirement. It was explained that when ETR was converted to Assimilated Law most of the text remained as original with a few paragraphs being modified, deleted and added. Whilst the main data submission and publication periodicity requirements remain unchanged, most of the changes relate to publication platform and processes. Originally ETR introduced the common European “Central Information Transparency Platform”. However, in the new Assimilated Law all references to “the Central Information Transparency Platform” have been deleted and a new term “reporting service” introduced.

The “reporting service” is defined in Article 4, New Paragraph 7, which states: “In *this Article*, “reporting service” means a service for the reporting and publication of data which is approved by the national regulatory authority for the purposes of this Article.” Currently within GB the “reporting service” is the Elexon Insights website REMIT page and consequently all data required to be published by the Retained ETR Law under articles 7 to 15 (or OC.2.4.7) needs to be submitted to this webpage. Whilst originally data could only be submitted to “the Central Information Transparency Platform” via MODIS, the ETR Assimilated Law contains options in the revised Article 4 paragraph 2, which now states

Public

“At any time while a reporting service is in operation, in relation to data which are able to be submitted to that reporting service—

(a) primary owners of the data other than TSOs may fulfil their obligation under paragraph 1 by submitting the data to the reporting service, subject to the prior agreement of the TSO in whose control area the primary owner is located;

(b) TSOs may fulfil their obligations in Articles 6 to 17 to publish data, and their obligations in paragraph 1A relating to such publication, by submitting the data to the reporting service.”

This amendment now permits Users to submit their ETR data either to NESO via MODIS – who are then required to forward to Elexon – or directly to Elexon, provided they have prior approval from the NESO. Given that CPI583 identified that REMIT data meets the data content requirements of ETR, users can comply by only submitting REMIT data. However, users need to note that whilst the general requirements for publishing REMIT data can be achieved by only publishing on the user’s own website, REMIT data relating to ETR requirements must be published centrally on the “reporting service”, which is the Elexon Insights REMIT webpage. Other Workgroup members supported this view.

Workgroup members were also of the view that the proposed legal text referred to the primary legislation, which is not usual practice when implementing EU codes.

Following the legal text review and further input from Workgroup members, the Proposer updated the proposed legal text and confirmed that Workgroup members were satisfied with the amendments. It was agreed by the Proposer and Workgroup members that OC.2.4.2.3 should remain as currently written. However, an amendment to the EU Law Section is needed to ensure alignment with the new requirements. The Workgroup also concluded that the reference should remain to the European Regulation 543 as it mandates data submission. There is also a small change required to DRC Schedule 6 to remove reference to the production type codes table, which are used by “the Central Information Transparency Platform”. This is because these codes do not match the existing fuel codes used in REMIT, which are considered suitable for GB purposes, and these are not detailed in ETR.

Workgroup Consultation Summary

The Workgroup held their Workgroup Consultation between 28 February – 21 March 2025 and received one response. The full response can be found in **Annex 04**.

A summary of the response can be found below.

- The respondent agrees that the Original proposal better facilitates Grid Code applicable objectives a), c) and d).

Public

- The respondent supports the proposed implementation approach.
- The respondent agrees that the draft legal text satisfies the intent of the modification, and that the modification does not impact the EBR Article 18 Terms and Conditions¹.
- No Workgroup Alternative requests were raised as part of the Workgroup Consultation.

Post Workgroup Consultation Discussions

Following the Workgroup Consultation, the Workgroup reviewed the response and agreed no further discussions were required.

Terms of Reference Discussion

a) Implementation and costs

The Workgroup agreed that there appear to be no material issues associated with implementation and costs for this modification.

b) Review draft legal text should it have been provided. If legal text is not submitted within the Grid Code Modification Proposal the Workgroup should be instructed to assist in the developing of the legal text

The legal text has been developed by the Workgroup and can be found in **Annex 03**.

c) Consider whether any further Industry experts or stakeholders should be invited to participate within the Workgroup to ensure that all potentially affected stakeholders have the opportunity to be represented in the Workgroup. Demonstrate what has been done to cover this clearly in the report

The Workgroup Consultation was discussed by the Grid Code Review Panel on 27 February 2025, where the Panel agreed that GC0174 could proceed to Workgroup Consultation and under (GR.20.5) the Panel agreed that if no further nominations were received, the Limited Membership Workgroup could continue following the Workgroup Consultation. No further Workgroup nominations were received.

d) Consider implications to sections linked to the Regulated Sections of the Grid Code

Following a review of the legal text it was highlighted that GC0174 has an EBR Impact. As DRC is listed within the EBR Mapping table, although this isn't a material impact due to the solution, it does mean that GC0174 can't proceed as a self -governance modification as previously agreed by the Grid Code Review Panel. As such, this was raised at the Grid Code Review Panel on 01 May, where the Panel agreed the change of governance route from self -governance to standard governance with an Authority decision.

¹ Following the Workgroup Consultation, NESO identified an EBR impact as there is a change to the Data Registration Code.

Public

e) Confirm where the obligation to provide the proposed data items to be removed are set out in other industry codes, licences or otherwise

Parties' obligation to provide ETR and REMIT data is retained in the current legislation and is discharged through the relevant sections of the Grid Code. Irrespective of the approval of BSC Modification CP1583, the obligation for parties to submit EU Transparency Availability Data is as specified in OC2.4.7 and this has been clarified in the proposed legal text.

f) Consider any implications on Users submitting REMIT data

The Workgroup considered the implications on Users submitting REMIT data and agreed these are minimal, as the amendment to OC2.4.7 does not affect REMIT obligations enforced by Ofgem through relevant regulations.

Legal text

The legal text for this change can be found in **Annex 03**.

What is the impact of this change?

Proposer's assessment against Code Objectives

Proposer's assessment against Grid Code Objectives	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(i) To permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity;	Neutral
(ii) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);	Neutral
(iii) Subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole;	Neutral

Public

(iv) To efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this license* and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and	Neutral
(v) To promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Grid Code arrangements	Positive The proposed changes clarify the obligations that apply to parties which should improve efficiencies for impacted Users.

* See Electricity System Operator Licence

Workgroup Vote

The Workgroup met on 29 May 2025 to carry out their Workgroup Vote. The full Workgroup Vote can be found in **Annex 05**. The table below provides a summary of the Workgroup members view on the best option to implement this change.

For reference the Applicable Grid Code Objectives are:

- i. *To permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity*
- ii. *Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national electricity transmission system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);*
- iii. *Subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole;*
- iv. *To efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this license* and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and*
- v. *To promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Grid Code arrangements*

* See Electricity System Operator Licence

Public

The Workgroup concluded unanimously that the Original better facilitated the Applicable Objectives than the Baseline.

Option	Number of voters that voted this option as better than the Baseline
Original	3

Code Administrator Consultation Summary

The Code Administrator Consultation was issued on 27 June 2025, closed on 28 July 2025 and received 1 non-confidential response (and 0 confidential responses). A summary of the response can be found in the table below, and the full response can be found in **Annex 08**.

Code Administrator Consultation summary	
Question	
Do you believe that the GC0174 Original Proposal better facilitates the Grid Code Applicable Objectives?	The respondent indicated that the Original Proposal better facilitates objectives i, iii and iv.
Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	The respondent indicated that they support the proposed implementation approach, noting that the Original Solution is their preferred solution.
Legal text issues raised in the consultation	
No legal text issues were raised.	
EBR issues raised in the consultation	
The respondent noted that the modification impacts the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the Code, advising that this is due to minor amendments to the Data Registration Code. They believed there is not a material EBR impact.	

Public

NESO response to EBR issues raised in the Code Administrator Consultation:

As the Proposer for this modification has stated, this change requires a minor amendment to the DRC (Data Registration Code). NESO believes that overall this modification is positive, and we have no concerns about the impact of the modification on the EBR objectives.

Panel Recommendation vote

The Panel met on the 21 August 2025 to carry out their recommendation vote.

They assessed whether a change should be made to the Grid Code by assessing the proposed change and any alternatives against the Applicable Objectives.

Panel comments on EBR impacts

The Panel had no further comments on the impact of the modification on the EBR objectives.

Vote 1: Does the Original facilitate the Applicable Objectives better than the Baseline?

Panel Member: **Andrew Allan, Generator Representative**

	Better facilitates AO (i)?	Better facilitates AO (ii)?	Better facilitates AO (iii)?	Better facilitates AO (iv)?	Better facilitates AO (v)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Neutral	Yes
Voting Statement						
The proposal improves consistency in reporting requirements between what is set out in assimilated law, BSC and Grid Code.						

Panel Member: **Antony Johnson, National Energy System Operator Representative**

	Better facilitates AO (i)?	Better facilitates AO (ii)?	Better facilitates AO (iii)?	Better facilitates AO (iv)?	Better facilitates AO (v)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Yes	Yes	Neutral	Yes
Voting Statement						

Public

We support this modification in addressing the review of the obligations of EU Transparency Availability Data as specified in OC2.4.7 and support the proposed changes.

Panel Member: **David Michie, Onshore Transmission Owner Representative**

	Better facilitates AO (i)?	Better facilitates AO (ii)?	Better facilitates AO (iii)?	Better facilitates AO (iv)?	Better facilitates AO (v)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes
Voting Statement						
This modification removes unnecessarily duplication of data services aiding efficiency and standardisation of data submissions.						

Panel Member: **Graeme Vincent, Network Operator Representative**

	Better facilitates AO (i)?	Better facilitates AO (ii)?	Better facilitates AO (iii)?	Better facilitates AO (iv)?	Better facilitates AO (v)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes	Yes
Voting Statement						
By providing clarity in relation to the provision EU Transparency Availability Data this modification should aid the efficiency and operation of the Grid Code and in Users submission of the required data.						

Panel Member: **Jamie Beardsall, Generator Representative**

	Better facilitates AO (i)?	Better facilitates AO (ii)?	Better facilitates AO (iii)?	Better facilitates AO (iv)?	Better facilitates AO (v)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Yes
Voting Statement						

Public

Vote for Original. Agree with points raised in final draft modification report regards simplification of reporting and data.

Panel Member: **John Harrower, Generator Representative**

	Better facilitates AO (i)?	Better facilitates AO (ii)?	Better facilitates AO (iii)?	Better facilitates AO (iv)?	Better facilitates AO (v)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Neutral	Yes
Voting Statement						
This modification clarifies reporting requirements and obligations to provide EU transparency availability data.						

Panel Member: **Robert Longden, Supplier Representative**

	Better facilitates AO (i)?	Better facilitates AO (ii)?	Better facilitates AO (iii)?	Better facilitates AO (iv)?	Better facilitates AO (v)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Neutral	Yes	Neutral	Yes
Voting Statement						
The Modification updates the Grid Code to align with the current reporting methods for ETR data and should be made.						

Panel Member: **Sigrid Bolik, Generator Representative**

	Better facilitates AO (i)?	Better facilitates AO (ii)?	Better facilitates AO (iii)?	Better facilitates AO (iv)?	Better facilitates AO (v)?	Overall (Y/N)
Original	Yes	Neutral	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Voting Statement						
Overall it is positive that the current inconsistency in data submission is highlighted and clarified. However, amendment also removes a choice and may introduce						

Public

doubling of data i.e. some users may still choose to submit directly to Elexon and start submitting also to The Company, which will then transfers to Elexon. It is suggested to introduced a filed in Schedule 6 questioning, if an acceptance for direct submission to Elexon is sought from the company. This will than make any deviation of submission transparent.

Vote 2 – Which option best meets the Applicable Objectives?

Panel Member	Best Option	Which objectives does this option better facilitate?
Andrew Allan	Original	i, iv
Antony Johnson	Original	i, iii, iv
David Michie	Original	v
Graeme Vincent	Original	i, iv, v
Jamie Beardsall	Original	i, v
John Harrower	Original	i, iv
Robert Longden	Original	i, iv
Sigrid Bolik	Original	i, iii, iv, v

Panel Conclusion

The Panel has recommended unanimously that the Proposer's solution is implemented.

When will this change take place?

Implementation date

10 working days following Authority Decision.

Date decision required by

As soon as reasonably practicable.

Implementation approach

There will be no system changes required as a result of this modification.

Public

Interactions

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> CUSC | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BSC | <input type="checkbox"/> STC | <input type="checkbox"/> SQSS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> European
Network Codes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EBR Article 18
T&Cs ² | <input type="checkbox"/> Other
modifications | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

This modification has been raised as a result of an approved BSC modification ([CPI583](#)).

GC0174 has an EBR Impact. As DRC is listed within the EBR Mapping table, although this isn't a material impact due to the proposed solution.

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

Acronym / key term	Meaning
BMRS	Balancing Mechanism Reporting Service
BSC	Balancing and Settlement Code
CUSC	Connection and Use of System Code
DRC	Data Registration Code
EBR	Electricity Balancing Regulation
EMFIP	Electricity Market Fundamental Information Platform
ENTSO-E	European Network of Transmission Operators for Electricity
ETR	European Transparency Regulation
EU	European Union
GC	Grid Code
MODIS	Market Operation Data Interface System
NESO	National Energy System Operator
OC	Operating Code

² If your modification amends any of the clauses mapped out in Annex GR.B of the Governance Rules section of the Grid Code, it will change the Terms & Conditions relating to Balancing Service Providers. The modification will need to follow the process set out in Article 18 of the Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR – EU Regulation 2017/2195). All Grid Code modifications must be consulted on for 1 month in the Code Administrator Consultation phase, unless they are Urgent modifications which have no impact on EBR Article 18 T&Cs. N.B. This will also satisfy the requirements of the NCER process.

Public

OTF	Operational Transparency Forum
REMIT	Regulation (EU) No 1227/2011 on wholesale energy market integrity and transparency
SME	Subject Matter Expert
SQSS	Security and Quality of Supply Standards
STC	System Operator Transmission Owner Code
T&Cs	Terms and Conditions

Reference material

- [CPI583 Rationalising publication of European Transparency Regulation \(ETR\) data on Elexon Systems – Elexon BSC](#)

Annexes

Annex	Information
Annex 01	GC0174 Proposal form
Annex 02	GC0174 Terms of reference
Annex 03	GC0174 Legal Text
Annex 04	GC0174 Workgroup Consultation Response
Annex 05	GC0174 Workgroup Vote
Annex 06	GC0174 Workgroup Attendance Record
Annex 07	GC0174 Workgroup Action Log
Annex 08	GC0174 Code Administrator Consultation Response