

Public

Code Administrator Meeting

Summary

Workgroup Meeting 6: CMP447 Removal of designated strategic works from cancellation charges/securitisation

Date:

Contact Details

Chair: Sarah Williams, Sarah.Williams@neso.energy

Proposer: Harvey Takhar, Harvey.Takhar@neso.energy

Key areas of discussion

The Chair noted the main purpose of the meeting was to discuss industry responses to the Workgroup Consultation.

Actions

Actions 20 (action to remain open)

The Chair confirmed that the action had been addressed and communicated to Workgroup members via email. As a Workgroup member noted they had not received the email, the action will remain open, and the email will be resent.

Action 21 (action closed)

The Chair confirmed that the action had been addressed and communicated to Workgroup members via email.

Review of Workgroup Consultation Responses

Discussion on EBR

The Chair noted that one respondent considered there could be an impact on EBR through this modification. The Proposer explained this is likely incorrect and the Proposer's view was supported by Workgroup members.

Discussion on Workgroup Consultation Q7

Responses indicated that respondents want clear, objective, and transparent criteria for designating Transmission Works, with consistent processes and stakeholder input. Workgroup members stressed the need for designation decisions before Gate 2 offers and questioned the timing and process for NESO to get Ofgem's decisions.

Public

Discussion on Workgroup Consultation Q8

The Workgroup discussed alternative approaches suggested by respondents. The Chair noted that these suggestions are to gain industry opinion, which could be applied to the proposal or alternatively adopted as alternatives if they are supported by the Workgroup.

Discussion on Workgroup Consultation Q9

The Chair noted that there was broad support amongst respondents for removing Excepted Works from cancellation charges to reduce barriers and improve competition. Workgroup members noted the need for clear designation principles and alignment with Gate 2 milestones. The priority should be timely implementation, and further detail can then follow.

Discussion on Workgroup Consultation Q10

Workgroup members agreed the current proposal addresses security profiles, asset classification, and generator clarity, with broad support from Workgroup Consultation respondents.

Discussion on Workgroup Consultation Q11

Workgroup members supported the view of all 27 respondents that agreed it is important to implement the solution in time for Gate 2 offers.

Other key discussions

Consumer Paragraph

The Proposer suggested including a paragraph on consumer impact in the Final Modification Report (FMR). They proposed that returning securities to Generators does not cost consumers and acknowledged the potential reduction in cancellation charge income.

Discussion on Potential Guidance

A Workgroup member suggested discussing potential guidance on the designation process in the next work group meeting to provide more clarity and transparency. The Chair took an action to add this to the agenda for the next meeting.

Next Steps

Public

The Chair noted the date of the next meeting will be 18 August and confirmed they will look to amend the Workgroup meeting on 02 September to a later time to avoid a potential conflict.

Actions

For the full action log, click [here](#).

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
20	WG5	PM/HT	Review and clarify the application and wording of the global asset reuse factor in the CUSC and feed back to the Workgroup.	WG7	Open
21	WG5	SW	Investigate whether a shorter response window and/or a reduction of post-consultation workgroup meetings is possible.	WG6	Closed
22	WG6	SW	Add an agenda item to discuss potential guidance on the designation process in the next Workgroup meeting.	WG7	New
23	WG6	SW	Amend the Workgroup meeting on 02 September to a later time to avoid a potential conflict	WG7	New

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Sarah Williams	SW	NESO Code Administrator	Chair
Kat Higby	KH	NESO Code Administrator	Tech Sec
Harvey Takhar	HT	NESO	Proposer
Paul Mott	PM	NESO	Proposer Alternate
	AK		Workgroup member
Alan Kelly		Corio Generation	
Alex Curtis	AC	NESO	NESO SME

Public

Barney Cowin	BC	Blue Float	Workgroup member
Binoy Dharsi	BD	EDF Energy Renewables	Workgroup alternate
Calum Duff	CD	Bowdun Offshore Wind Farm	Workgroup member
Charles Yates	CY	Muir Mhor Offshore Wind Farm Ltd (50% owned by Vattenfall)	Workgroup member
Christopher Patrick	CP	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Chris White	CW	Research Relay Ltd	Workgroup alternate
Ciaran Fitzgerald	CF	Scottish Power Renewables	Workgroup member
Damian Clough	DC	SSE	Workgroup member
Dennis Gowland	DG	Research Relay Ltd	Workgroup member
E Lian Diong	ELD	Bowdun Offshore Wind Farm	Workgroup alternate
Joe Colebrook	JC	Innova	Workgroup member
Kirsty Dawson	KD	Statkraft	Workgroup member
Kyle Murchie	KM	Roadnight Taylor	Workgroup member
Lenka Peskova	LP	ESB Generation and Trading	Workgroup member
Matthew Dowds	MD	Muirhall Energy	Workgroup member
Neil Bennett	NB	SSEN Transmission	Workgroup member
Patrick Smart	PS	RES UK & IRELAND LIMITED	Workgroup member
Paul Smillie	PS	SPEN	Workgroup alternate
Paul Youngman	PY	Drax	Workgroup member

Public

Richard Blanchfield	RB	Talisk-Magnora Offshore Wind Ltd (Grid Advisor)	Workgroup member
Sam Aitchison	AS	Island Green Power	Workgroup member
Tim Ellingham	TE	RWE	Workgroup member