

Public

# Code Administrator Meeting

## Summary

### Workgroup Meeting 8: CMP423 Generation Weighted Reference Node

**Date:** 14/08/2025

#### Contact Details

Chair: Claire Gault, [claire.gault@neso.energy](mailto:claire.gault@neso.energy)

Proposer: John Tindal, [John.Tindal@sse.com](mailto:John.Tindal@sse.com)

### Key areas of discussion

#### Objectives and Timeline Review

The Chair emphasised the necessity of completing the review of the Workgroup Report prior to the next meeting scheduled for 3 September and noted that the report must be finalised and submitted to the CUSC Panel by 18 September.

All Workgroup members were requested to conduct a thorough review of the report following the meeting to ensure any required amendments are addressed before the final vote at the subsequent session.

#### Interactions with other modifications

The Workgroup considered the interactions with CMP444, acknowledging that Ofgem's minded-to decision was to reject this modification. It was agreed that the relevant impact section would be relocated to an annex, with only a brief reference to CMP444 remaining in the main report.

A Workgroup members enquired about the impact of CMP432, the Chair confirmed that the impact of CMP432 would remain within the body of the report.

Ofgem's approach to substantive modifications was discussed by Workgroup members, it was noted that Ofgem would consider mods on a case-by-case basis, especially those that do not contradict the overall principles of the Review of Electricity Market Arrangement (REMA) programme.

Public

## **Centrica Consultation Response**

Centrica's Workgroup member raised concerns regarding the modification's approach to the zero price floor issue, questioning whether it offered the optimal solution.

The Proposer responded by clarifying that the primary aim of the modification is not to address the zero price floor matter directly, though its resolution would be a positive by-product of the proposal. Further emphasising CMP440 and CMP423 are not substitutes, but rather complementary measures, each targeting different aspects of the overall issue.

## **Review Workgroup Report**

The Workgroup reviewed the latest version of the Workgroup Report, which included additional details and updates from the Proposer.

A Workgroup members requested the inclusion of the impact of 10% conventional carbon scenario, to better represent the impact to Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS), which had been done for the other WACMs. NESO's representative agreed to update the graphics accordingly.

## **Review Draft Legal Text**

NESO's representative reviewed the legal text changes, explaining the rationale behind the updates and ensuring the examples remain accurate and relevant.

The Proposer suggested checking the modification's impact on local charges, noting that the TNUoS Transport model is responsible for both wider and local charges. NESO's representative agreed to review the implications for local charges to confirm there are no significant issues.

## **Review Terms of Reference**

The CMP423 Workgroup Terms of Reference were shared, inviting members to provide any questions or comments for discussion. The Chair emphasised that detailed points would be addressed following the finalisation of the report.

Public

## Next Steps

The Chair outline the forthcoming actions, which include updating the Workgroup Report, conducting a comprehensive review of both the final report and the legal text, and preparing for the subsequent meeting scheduled for 3 September.

Workgroup Members were reminded to prepare for the next meeting which will focus on finalising the report and voting.

## Actions

Action Number	WG Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due By	Status
26	WG8	NC	Add a graph for 10% conventional carbon in the relevant section of the Workgroup report and ensure all associated data and analysis are updated accordingly.			Open
27	WG8	NC	Review and clarify the worked examples in the legal text, especially regarding the use of fixed versus distributed reference nodes, and ensure explanatory text is clear for non-technical readers.			Open
28	WG8	NC	Check and confirm whether the modification will have any material impact on local charges and update the report accordingly.			Open
29	WG8	CG	Update the Workgroup Report with all discussed changes send to the Proposer for review, and then circulate to			Open

Public

			the Workgroup for final review before the next meeting			
--	--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

## Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Claire Gault	CG	Code Administrator, NESO	Chair
Deborah Spencer	DS	Code Administrator, NESO	Tech sec
John Tindal	JT	SSE	Proposer
Chia Nwajagu	CN	Ørsted	Observer
Daniel Ffrench-Mullen	DF	Ofgem	Authority Representative
Dennis Gowland	DG	Research Relay Ltd	Workgroup Member
Graham Pannell	GP	BayWa r.e.	Workgroup Member
Gregory Edwards	GE	Centrica	Workgroup Member
Hector Perez	HP	Scottish Power	Alternate Workgroup Member
Gregory Edwards	GE	Centrica	Workgroup Member
Niall Coyle	NC	NESO	Workgroup Member
Paul Youngman	PY	Drax	Workgroup Member
Robert Dunne	RD	Intergen UK	Workgroup Member
Robert Longden	RL	Cornwall Insight (nominated by Eneco Energy Trade)	Workgroup Member