

NESO
National Energy
System Operator

Public

Workgroup Consultation		
GC0176:	Modification process & timetable	
Introduction of Demand	Proposal Form Il November 2024	
Control Rotation Protocol	Workgroup Consultation 28 July 2025 to 26 August 2025	
within Operating Code 6 of the Grid Code	Workgroup Report 22 October 2025	
Overview: The modification will make changes to OC6 to allow for the Demand Control Rotation Protocol (DCRP) to be formally recognised as a	Code Administrator Consultation 04 November 2025 – 04 December 2025	
	Draft Final Modification Report 21 January 2026	
tool to manage shortfalls in electricity supply for short term use.	Final Modification Report 11 February 2026	
	Implementation 10 Business Days after Decision	

Have 5 minutes? Read our <u>Executive summary</u>
Have 40 minutes? Read the full <u>Workgroup Consultation</u>

Have 120 minutes? Read the full Workgroup Consultation and Annexes.

Status summary: The Workgroup is seeking your views on the work completed to date to form the final solution to the issue raised.

This modification is expected to have a: High impact on Distribution Network Operators, National Energy System Operator and Consumers

Modification drivers:	Efficiency, System Security
------------------------------	-----------------------------

Governance route	Standard Governance modification with assessment by a Workgroup	
Who can I talk to	Proposer: Code Administrator Chair:	
about the change?	Frank Kasibante, NESO frank.kasibantel@neso.energy	Lizzie Timmins elizabeth.timmins@neso.energy
How do I respond?	Send your response proforma to <u>grid.code@neso.energy</u> by 5pm on 26 August 2025	

1



Contents

Contents	2
Executive Summary	3
What is the issue?	4
Why change?	<i>L</i>
, c	
What is the solution?	5
Proposer's solution	5
Workgroup considerations	5
What is the impact of this change?	15
Proposer's assessment against Code Objectives	15
	10
When will this change take place?	Ič
Interactions	18
How to respond	18
Acronyms, key terms and reference material	20
Annexes	2 1





Executive Summary

This modification proposes to make changes to Operating Code 6 (OC6) of the Grid Code to allow for the Demand Control Rotation Protocol (DCRP) to be formally recognised as a tool to manage shortfalls in electricity supply, for short-term use.

What is the issue?

The Demand Control Rotation Protocol (DCRP) was created in collaboration with industry due to the possibility of tighter winter margins and additional risks. The DCRP is a tool that can be used during short periods, e.g. evening peak, where there is a forecast shortfall in supply that requires electricity demand to be managed. The Grid Code does not have sufficient provision for the DCRP to be instructed during a supply shortfall, neither does it provide assurance that Network Operators will not be unfairly penalised if they are not able to meet their obligations, in the event of implementing DCRP if there is a shortfall in supply.

What is the solution and when will it come into effect?

Proposer's solution: The solution involves amending the Grid Code to allow provision for the DCRP to be implemented such that the National Energy System Operator (NESO) can instruct Network Operators accordingly and to clarify existing arrangements relating to electricity demand reduction. The solution does not apply to Non-embedded customers.

Implementation date: 10 Business Days following Decision.

What is the impact if this change is made?

This modification will enable Network Operators to remain compliant with Grid Code obligations when implementing the DCRP and ensure they are not unfairly penalised if they are not able to meet their obligations, in the event of implementing DCRP if there is a shortfall in supply. It will also benefit consumers by allowing the use of DCRP, to ensure any one consumer is not turned off repeatedly or for an excessive period of time.

Interactions

As this modification makes changes to OC6, a Distribution Code Modification will also be required. There is also an impact on the Regulated Sections of the Grid Code (due to changes to OC6.5).





What is the issue?

Operating Code 6 (OC6) contains the tools which enables NESO and Electricity
Distribution Companies to reduce demand on the National Electricity
Transmission System to either avoid or relieve operating problems. The tools are designed to be used at no or short notice.

In 2022, due to the possibility of tighter winter margins and additional risks (e.g. geopolitical events), the Demand Control Rotation Protocol (DCRP)¹ was created. It was formalised in 2024 in collaboration with, and with endorsement from industry, through the Electricity Task Group (ETG). The DCRP is a tool that can be used during short periods, e.g. evening peak, where there is a shortage of supply that requires demand to be managed. The DCRP has been created in line with current OC6 obligations but will be amended in line with the proposed GC0176 changes.

DCRP does not currently exist in OC6, which means there is no formal Grid Code mechanism for using or instructing the DCRP. There is also no protection for Network Operators from being unfairly penalised if they are not able to meet their obligations, in the event of implementing DCRP if there is a shortfall in supply.

The DCRP can be used more flexibly, be initiated quicker and for a shorter duration than the current version of the Electricity Supply Emergency Code (ESEC), reducing the impact on individual consumers compared with the other tools set out on OC6. This is because it is designed to use Load Blocks to ensure that no one consumer is disconnected for an excessive period of time. This will reduce unnecessary risks to GB consumers, especially during winter months.

A draft of the DCRP summary can be found in **Annex 04**.

-

¹ Due to national security reasons, the full Demand Control Rotation Protocol will not be a publicly available document. A summary of the protocol has been created that will be included in the Grid Code as an associated document.





Why change?

To enable NESO, during an electricity supply shortfall, to efficiently instruct the Network Operators to utilise the DCRP rather than the ESEC being enacted. This will give NESO more flexibility to manage demand and reduce the impact on individual consumers compared with the other tools set out in OC6.

What is the solution?

Proposer's solution

The Demand Control Rotation Protocol (DCRP)² was created in 2024 to manage demand in situations where there is a shortage of supply. It was developed in collaboration with, and with endorsement from industry, through the Electricity Task Group (ETG).

A new section will be created within OC6 (OC6.9) that will introduce the concept of the DCRP and ensure its application is codified. OC6.9 describes how NESO will issue instructions to Network Operators on how to reduce the demand that their network imposes on the National Electricity Transmission System which will help manage shortfalls in electricity supply. This includes how the DCRP will be initiated, what demand Network Operators will be required to disconnect, and instructions to stop using the DCRP.

The solution also involves changes to other parts of OC6 to ensure consistency with the new DCRP protocol and to ensure all OC6 tools are co-ordinated. Changes to OC7 were required to outline the issuing of National Electricity Transmission System Notices, and associated additions to the Glossary and Definitions are also required.

The solution does not apply to non-embedded customers.

_

² Due to national security reasons, the full Demand Control Rotation Protocol will not be a publicly available document. A summary of the protocol will be created that will be included in the Grid Code as an associated document.





Workgroup considerations

The Workgroup convened 6 times to discuss the identified issue within the scope of the defect, develop potential solutions, and evaluate the proposal in relation to the Applicable Code Objectives.

Consideration of the Proposer's solution

The Proposer explained the background and defect of the modification, noting that the modification will make changes to Operating Code 6 (OC6) of the Grid Code to allow for the Demand Control Rotation Protocol (DCRP) to be formally recognised as a tool to manage shortfalls in electricity supply for short term use. The Proposer also noted that extensive engagement had already been undertaken with Network Operators on the DCRP at the Electricity Task Group (ETG) prior to raising the modification. In this regard, seven of the eight Workgroup members (plus the Proposer, along with the Observer and the Authority Representative) come from organisations that are members of ETG and five of the eleven Workgroup participants attended ETG meetings (where the DCRP was discussed).

The Proposer confirmed that as part of their solution, they are seeking to introduce a summary version (with redacted appendices) of the DCRP as a Grid Code associated document, which will be made public, whereas the full version of the DCRP will only be made available to industry. One Workgroup member questioned why the full version can't be made public; the Proposer confirmed that there is a security risk to making details of the instruction protocols for Network Operators public, explaining that this risk is mitigated by redacting certain content within the version shared publicly.

The Proposer's initial intention was to list the DCRP Summary within the General Conditions as a Grid Code Electrical Standard. Following legal advice, they confirmed to the Workgroup that as the DCRP Summary is not an Electrical Standard, there was not a need to list it within the General Conditions. They also





advised that they proposed to add further clarification within the Grid Code of the obligations on Network Operators, to avoid needing to have an Electrical Standard, and that the DCRP Summary did not have any additional obligations within it. The Workgroup agreed with this approach.

The Workgroup discussed that the solution does not apply to non-embedded customers but did not rule out the possibility of a future Grid Code modification that may include non-embedded customers in its scope.

Cross Code Impacts

The Chair noted that this modification has an interaction with the Network Code on Emergency Restoration and the Distribution Code. The Proposer noted that Table 2 of Annex GR.B in the Governance Rules would be amended to add the new OC6.9 section into the mapping table for the Network Code on Emergency Restoration (NCER). The Chair advised that this Workgroup was a joint Grid Code / Distribution Code Workgroup and noted that representatives from the Distribution Code Administrator had been invited to act as an Observer on the GC0176 Workgroup.

Legal Text Discussions

Much of the Workgroup discussion revolved around the modification Legal Text, as follows:

Operating Code 6:

OC6.1.5: The Workgroup discussed the need to add in a reference to OC6.9 to allow provision for the DCRP to be covered in the clause allowing site protection for pre-designated sites where it is technically feasible to do so. The Proposer agreed to add this to the legal text.





OC6.2.2: The Workgroup discussed whether the exceptions for Grid Supply Points in Scotland were still required in OC6.2.2. Network Operator representatives requested that this remain in OC6.2.2 rather than being removed.

OC6.5: The Proposer noted that NESO legal had highlighted some discrepancies in terminology throughout OC6.5 and asked the Workgroup whether they felt that addressing these would fit into the scope of GC0176. The Workgroup agreed that these could be addressed to provide clarity for Users, given that OC6.5 is proposed to be amended as part of GC0176.

OC6.5.1: The Workgroup noted that a new introductory paragraph in OC6.5.1 was helpful to aid in understanding of what OC6.5 aims to achieve. It was noted that all references within OC6 to OC6.5 would need to be reviewed to ensure they still refer to the correct part.

OC6.5.4: The Workgroup discussed the need to separate out Demand Disconnection and Voltage Control to provide clarity to Network Operators. The Workgroup also discussed that the use of four Fast Load Blocks (each of circa 5% of a Network Operator's demand) could be codified as part of Demand Disconnection, rather than the existing three Demand Disconnection stages. This is due to all Network Operators agreeing that they currently have provision for Four Fast Load Blocks to be disconnected in a Demand Control situation. The Network Operators that provide Voltage Reduction noted that they did this in addition to (and not instead of) the four Fast Load Blocks, so the legal text was amended to reflect this. OC6.5.3(b) was also amended to change the reference to Grid Supply Points to the Network Operators licensed area, to reflect what happens in practice.

OC6.5.4-5: The Workgroup discussed the existing timelines for instructions and whether they were still relevant for the DCRP. Additional notices (and timings) were added within OC6.9. The Workgroup discussion also covered whether the





additional 20% demand reduction in OC6.5.4 should come from (non-Fast) Load Blocks and how this should be specified in the text. It was suggested that the text should be amended to specify that the additional 20% demand reduction will come from Load Blocks, rather than Fast Load Blocks. Following this, the Workgroup noted it would be clearer to remove reference to percentages within the text as this is referenced within the definitions of Fast Blocks and Load Blocks. The Workgroup also noted that the existing timescales within OC6, for additional demand reduction(s), would remain the same. Following feedback from ETG, the Proposer removed provision for the additional 20% demand reduction from OC6, as the view at ETG was that DCRP should be triggered if more than 20% disconnection is needed. The Workgroup agreed with this stance. The Workgroup discussed that if a notice had not been provided in the correct timescales by The Company, that Network Operators would do their best to provide additional demand reduction, but this could not be guaranteed.

OC6.5.6: The Proposer asked the Workgroup whether they thought any amendments were required in OC6.5.6 due to changes in OC6.5.4 and OC6.5.5. One Workgroup member queried if there was a need to consider whether OC6.5.6 instructions (apart from using 4 Fast Load Blocks) could be issued whilst the DCRP is activated. The NESO SME advised of the need to ensure consistency between OC6 and the new DCRP structure. The Workgroup acknowledged the importance of making these changes to maintain clarity and operational effectiveness.

OC6.5.7: A Workgroup member suggested that the existing provision in OC6.5.7 might be outdated and could be removed, as DCRP now provides a structured approach to Demand control. The Workgroup agreed to refine the wording and determine whether to keep or amend OC6.5.7. The Workgroup also discussed that the level of rota disconnections may be different in different areas, and not consistent across Network Operator licenced areas.





OC6.9.2: The Original proposed Legal Text suggested a review of the DCRP every two years. One Workgroup member thought that this was too often, so the Proposer amended this to obligate a review every five years. One Workgroup member raised concerns that NESO could potentially change the DCRP and expect Network Operators to comply with it immediately; they noted that there needed to be a safeguard in the Grid Code to ensure stakeholders were consulted on any changes to the DCRP and the Authority should approve those changes (given (a) the practical effects, on end consumers, if it was utilised 'in anger' and (b) the interaction with NCER documentation, which requires Authority approval to any changes). The Proposer also agreed that the need to agree any transitional arrangements to reflect any changes to the DCRP should be codified. The Proposer added further detail into OC6.9.2 to outline required engagement with Network Operators on review of the DCRP and for Authority approval of the agreed DCRP.

oc6.9.3, oc6.9.4, oc6.9.5 and oc6.9.6: The Proposer explained the intended use and timing of the proposed new DCRP notices. Workgroup members discussed the potential of notices being used as triggers prior to the notice instructing Demand Control Rotation. A Workgroup member, noting the interaction with REMIT, confirmed that in their view, market participants would require NESO to issue notices directly to industry, rather than for industry to rely on spotting notices shared, for example, on social media. Workgroup members noted that rather than the one notice proposed in the Proposer's solution, there would be a need for a series of notices scheduled at differing times, as follows:

- A notice from NESO to all Network Operators and market participants 7-8
 hours ahead, to provide notice of possible implementation of the Demand
 Control Rotation Protocol;
- A notice, from NESO, to each relevant Network Operator (copied to market participants) to instruct them to implement the Demand Control Rotation Protocol;





 A notice, from NESO, to each relevant Network Operator (copied to market participants) to instruct them to stop implementing the Demand Control Rotation Protocol. Several Workgroup members noted that this notice could not take effect immediately, as the Network Operator's network might need to be reconfigured to return to 'business as usual' normal operations.

These notices were added to the legal text by the Proposer following Workgroup feedback. The Workgroup agreed that defining "Demand Control Rotation Period" as a specific term in the Grid Code would be beneficial for clarity and consistency.

Network Operators within the Workgroup confirmed that they are able to meet the requirements for timings specified in OC6.9.4. The NESO SME also raised this at ETG to ensure other stakeholders were satisfied.

Operating Code 7:

The Workgroup reviewed the amendments made to OC7 Appendix 1 to add the new notices required for DCRP. One Workgroup member noted that the 'To: For Information' column should refer to Market Participants and the Authority for the notices introduced by GC0176, however it was later discussed that Market Participants should be given a summary of the notice, and not issued the full notice, due to security issues. The Workgroup agreed the need to include a section for the types of National Electricity Transmission System notices, under OC7.4.8.4, to avoid renumbering. The Workgroup agreed to avoid paraphrasing the notices' purposes and instead refer directly to the relevant OC6 sections, to prevent confusion and ensure consistency. The Workgroup also agreed that the Appendix 1 tables should be reformatted from portrait to landscape for improved readability.

Glossary and Definitions:

The Workgroup discussed several new proposed definitions required through the introduction of the DCRP. Workgroup members suggesting renaming 'Fast Blocks' to 'Fast Load Blocks' and asked for clarification on whether the definition of Load





Block applies to (i) DNOs only or (ii) DNOs and transmission connected IDNOs, and whether the approximate percentages and suffix letters used in the Load Blocks definition are appropriate. The Proposer confirmed that the solution applied to DNOs and transmission connected IDNOs, as all are Network Operators as defined in the Grid Code. One Workgroup member noted that the 4-6% of demand is assumed to be based on the system peak and suggested that the wording should specify this to avoid different interpretations. The Workgroup recognised that this was a complex area and agreed that, at the moment, the existing interpretation would be sufficient. There was also discussion on how Load Blocks are calculated and the consistency around this, however the Workgroup agreed this was out of scope of the modification.

The Workgroup agreed that additional definitions were required for the new notices proposed for the DCRP, and also requested that a definition be added for Demand Control Rotation Period for clarity, as this is mentioned within OC6.9.6.

When reviewing the new notices defined to enable the DCRP, the Workgroup discussed the distinction between the terms 'notices' and 'warnings' in the Grid Code. The Workgroup confirmed that a 'warning' is typically used to alert parties about something that may happen, while a 'notice' requires action. The Workgroup also agreed that the terms should be used consistently and appropriately to reflect the actions required, deciding to use 'notices' for all three new communications, reflecting the need for action by the Network Operators.

The Workgroup noted that the notices should specify 'Relevant Network Operators' to ensure clarity on who the notices apply to, to account for scenarios where not all Network Operators might be involved.

The Workgroup also discussed whether multiple Activation Schedules might be issued and how they should be handled, agreeing that the initial Implementation





notice would cover the entire period until a Stand Down notice is issued. Subsequent Activation Schedules would be issued as needed.

The Workgroup discussed the timing and clarity of the Activation Schedules and Implementation Notices used in the current definitions. The Workgroup concluded that the final Activation Schedule is the actual switching instruction, and the draft Schedules provide early guidance, and updated the definitions accordingly.

A Workgroup member suggested including a timeline diagram to clarify the sequence of notices and actions. The Workgroup agreed this should exist within OC6.

The Workgroup agreed to future-proof the definition of 'Emergency Response Team' by not specifying the current department name (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero) and instead use 'relevant (UK) government department for energy'.

Governance Rules:

The Workgroup noted that GC0176 has an interaction with the NCER due to changes to OC6.5. The Proposer advised that OC6.9 would be added to the mapping table within Annex GR.B Table 2, which the Workgroup agreed with.

Interaction of DCRP with Low Frequency Demand Disconnection (LFDD)

The Workgroup discussed the overlap between LFDD and DCRP blocks, especially with high demand disconnection, the consideration needed for customers under both LFDD and DCRP, and the technical challenges of integrating LFDD and DCRP blocks. The Workgroup acknowledged that the LFDD issue is complex and will require further work, but it is beyond the scope of this modification.





Options discussed within the legal text but not taken forward into the solution:

The proposed Legal Text within OC6.9 originally included provision for Network Operators to have an exemption from certain obligations and delivery incentives outlined in their licences. The Authority representative noted the importance of understanding which incentives and licence requirements Network Operators might not be able to meet during a Demand Control Rotation Period. They suggested that the Authority could consider ex-post derogations when evaluating incentives and also mentioned the need to check from a legal perspective whether the proposed text³ is applicable within the bounds of the existing licence, rather than through primary legislation. The Authority Representative took an action to consider these issues and provided the Workgroup with a letter previously sent to the Electricity Networks Association (ENA) regarding derogations (Annex 05).

Several Network Operator Workgroup members expressed concern with this, noting that even though the DCRP would be beneficial to society, it would not be beneficial for Network Operators to implement it over ESEC due to the lack of protection to them if they fail to meet obligations over a prolonged use of the DCRP. The Proposer advised that they also had this concern and wanted to find a solution which ensured a neutral application (whereby Network Operators were not unfairly penalised in case they were unable to meet their obligations, in the event of implementing DCRP). Network Operator Workgroup members were asked to consider what licence requirements were likely to require a derogation if DCRP was used. They indicated that the letter in **Annex 05** would cover the licence requirements that would need a derogation, however noted some practical challenges with meeting obligations.

³ The proposed legal text that was discussed and then removed from OC6 was as follows: During a **Demand Control Rotation Period**, it is accepted that **Network Operators** may not be able to meet relevant obligations and delivery incentives in their licences.





The Chair provided an update in Workgroup meeting 6 that the Authority representative had indicated the potential for an enduring derogation to be issued alongside a decision on GC0176. The Workgroup agreed to remove the proposed legal text³ within the Grid Code covering the exemption from obligations, however Network Operator Workgroup members stressed that a derogation would be necessary if DCRP was actuated.

Draft Legal Text

The Draft Legal Text for this change can be found in **Annex 03**.

What is the impact of this change?

This modification will enable Network Operators to remain compliant with Grid Code obligations and ensure they are not unfairly penalised if they are not able to meet their obligations, in the event of implementing DCRP. It will also benefit consumers by allowing the use of the DCRP, to ensure any one consumer is not turned off repeatedly or for an excessive period of time.

Proposer's assessment against Code Objectives

Proposer's assessment against Grid Code Objectives		
Relevant Objective	Identified impact	
(i) To permit the development, maintenance and operation of an efficient, coordinated and economical system for the transmission of electricity;	Positive DCRP, as a formal tool, shall be used to effectively manage shortfall in supply.	
(ii) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity (and without limiting the foregoing, to facilitate the national	Neutral	





electricity transmission system being made available to persons authorised to supply or generate electricity on terms which neither prevent nor restrict competition in the supply or generation of electricity);	
(iii) Subject to sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii), to promote the security and efficiency of the electricity generation, transmission and distribution systems in the national electricity transmission system operator area taken as a whole;	Positive DCRP aims to manage the system under events when there is a shortfall in supply to ensure system stability.
(iv) To efficiently discharge the obligations imposed upon the licensee by this license and to comply with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency; and	Positive This modification will enable Network Operators to remain compliant to Grid Code obligations and sure they are not disincentivised from other obligations, in the event of a shortfall in supply.
(v) To promote efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Grid Code arrangements.	Neutral





Proposer's assessment of the impact of the modification on the stakeholder / consumer benefit categories	
Stakeholder / consumer benefit categories	Identified impact
Improved safety and reliability of the system	Positive It is aimed at effectively managing scenarios where there has been a shortfall in supply to meet required demand.
Lower bills than would otherwise be the case	Neutral This change will not intend to introduce impacts to consumers' bills.
Benefits for society as a whole	DCRP can be used more flexibly, initiated quicker and for a shorter duration than under the Electricity Supply Emergency Code (ESEC), reducing the impact on individual consumers. This will reduce unnecessary risks to GB consumers, especially during winter months.
Reduced environmental damage	Neutral It is not anticipated to have negative impacts on the environment.
Improved quality of service	Neutral





When will this change take place?

Implementation date

10 Business Days following approval.

Date decision required by

As soon as possible.

Implementation approach

It is envisaged that NESO and Network Operators will need to make minor changes to internal processes to allow the use of DCRP.

Interactions			
□CUSC	□BSC	□STC	□SQSS
□European	□ EBR Article 18	□Other	⊠ Other
Network Codes	T&Cs ¹	modifications	

As this modification makes changes to OC6, changes to the Distribution Code are required; this modification has been progressed under a joint Grid Code/Distribution Code Workgroup, with observers on the Workgroup who represent the Distribution Code Administrator.

The legal text changes introduced in this modification will impact the Regulated Sections of the Grid Code (due to changes to OC6.5).

If this modification is approved, a change will be required to the System Defence Plan.

How to respond

Standard Workgroup Consultation questions

 Do you believe that the Original Proposal better facilitates the Applicable Objectives?





- 2. Do you support the proposed implementation approach?
- 3. Do you have any other comments?
- 4. Do you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative request for the Workgroup to consider?
- 5. Does the draft legal text satisfy the intent of the modification?
- 6. Do you agree with the Workgroup's assessment that the modification does not impact the European Electricity Balancing Regulation (EBR) Article 18 terms and conditions held within the Grid Code?

Specific Workgroup Consultation questions

- 7. The proposed solution currently applies to all Network Operators, which includes transmission connected IDNOs (but not distribution connected IDNOs, as these are implicitly included in the arrangements with DNOs). Do you agree that transmission connected IDNOs should be included? If not, please provide your rationale.
- 8. Do you agree it is appropriate for Ofgem to approve derogations for DNOs in the event they cannot meet their licence obligations due to facilitating use of DCRP?

The Workgroup is seeking the views of Grid Code Users and other interested parties in relation to the issues noted in this document and specifically in response to the questions above.

Please send your response to <u>grid.code@neso.energy</u>using the response proforma which can be found on the <u>GC0176 modification page</u>.

In accordance with Governance Rules if you wish to raise a Workgroup Consultation Alternative Request please fill in the form which you can find at the above link.

If you wish to submit a confidential response, mark the relevant box on your consultation proforma. Confidential responses will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless agreed otherwise, will not be shared with the Panel, Workgroup





or the industry and may therefore not influence the debate to the same extent as a non-confidential response.

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

Acronym / key term	Meaning
BSC	Balancing and Settlement Code
CUSC	Connection and Use of System Code
DCRP	Demand Control Rotation Protocol
DNO(s)	Distribution Network Operator(s)
EBR	Electricity Balancing Regulation
ESEC	Electricity Supply Emergency Code
ETG	Electricity Task Group
GC	Grid Code
IDNO	Independent Distribution Network Operator ⁴
LLRDP	Low Level Rota Disconnection Plan
NCER	Network Code on Emergency Restoration
OC6	Operating Code 6 (of the Grid Code)
OC7	Operating Code 7 (of the Grid Code)
STC	System Operator Transmission Owner Code
sqss	Security and Quality of Supply Standard

 $^{^4}$ These can be connected at transmission (to the NETS) or connected at distribution (and via a DNO network, to the NETS).





T&Cs	Terms and Conditions

Reference material

• <u>Electricity Supply Emergency Code</u>

Annexes

Annex	Information
Annex 01	GC0176 Proposal form
Annex 02	GC0176 Terms of reference
Annex 03	GC0176 Legal Text
Annex 04	DCRP Summary
Annex 05	Ofgem Letter on RLD regulatory barriers