



Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Workgroup Meeting 8: Re-introduction of Demand TNUoS locational signals by removal of the zero-price floor

Date: 01 July 2025

Contact Details

Chair: Robert Hughes, robert.hughes3@neso.energy

Proposer: Lauren Jauss, lauren.jauss@rwe.com

Key areas of discussion

The Chair outlined the main purpose of the meeting, which was to sign off the Workgroup consultation and resolve the comments on the report, aiming for consultation during July. The Chair noted that the implementation date would be later due to the annual charging changes occurring on the 1st of April, missing the original 2026 deadline.

Action Log Review

The Chair reviewed the action log, discussing various actions and their status.

Action 11 – The Proposer stated that all tariffs and customer bill tariff tables were added, allowing this action to be closed.

Action 16 – The Chair noted that the Consultation document had been reviewed, and comments added, so this action could be closed.

Action 18 – The Proposer and PM acknowledged that they had not yet completed this task, and advised it was ongoing and would be important in the autumn.

Action 19 – The Chair informed that NESO legal had advised the alternative ideas proposed by a Workgroup observer were out of scope, so this action could be closed.

Workgroup Consultation Report review

The Chair led the review of the Draft consultation report, addressing comments and changes made by the Workgroup.

The Proposer explained the updates made to the impact tables, including the addition of new materials and the reflection of changes discussed in previous workgroup meetings. The Proposer provided detailed explanations of the tables, showing the impact on bills for the initial and updated proposals.

Non-Final Demand





Scope Debate: The Workgroup engaged in a detailed discussion on whether non-final demand should be included in the scope of the modification. Some Workgroup members expressed their views regarding the consideration of non-final demand and the potential need to expand the scope. There was a lively discussion where the reasoning for the scope of the modification to be restricted to final demand was compared with the ideas of some Workgroup members to widen the scope to include non-final demand. Agreement was reached to include the discussion in the Workgroup consultation report and amend one of the consultation questions to seek consideration of the scope of the modification proposal as it stands

Governance and Process: The Chair explained the governance process and the importance of adhering to the scope defined by the modification proposal and agreed by the CUSC panel for Workgroup consideration. The Chair highlighted the opportunity for formal alternative requests to be raised in future Workgroups, to ensure proper consideration and comparison with the original proposal. Once an alternative request had been formally proposed, issues of scope and the merits of the alternative can be reviewed.

Questions for Consultation

Question Refinement: The Workgroup reviewed and refined the questions for the consultation, ensuring they were clear and relevant. They discussed the importance of gathering feedback on key issues, including the inclusion of non-final demand and the appropriate charging periods for year-round tariffs.

Question on the scope of the of the modification proposal: The Workgroup considered this question and decided to make it 'open' seeking views, rather than a 'closed' yes or no. The question will also seek practical solutions for implementing non-final demand if it were to be included.

Charging Periods: The Workgroup discussed the appropriate charging periods for year-round tariffs, considering various options and their potential impact. They aimed to gather industry feedback on the most suitable charging periods to ensure cost reflectivity and practicality.

Next Steps

The Chair confirmed the next steps, including finalising the report, allowing a day for review by Workgroup members, and aiming to publish the consultation by the 8th, or 9th of July if necessary.

Actions



For the full action log, click <u>here.</u>

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
11	WG4	LJ	The Proposer took an action to add percentage changes into the impact tables showing the impact on bills for better clarity.	WG5	Closed
16	WG6	All	Review and edit the Workgroup consultation document, adding comments and changes as necessary.	Ongoing	Closed
18	WG6	LJ/PM	Develop the legal text on the current CUSC section 14 baseline.	Ongoing	Open
19	WG7	RH	Check If the alternative solution raised by GD is within scope of this modification.	Closed	WG8
20	WG8	SW	Send an offline version of the updated consultation report to all Workgroup members for review before publication.	Open	07/07/2025

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role	
Robert Hughes	RH	NESO Code Administrator	Chair	
Sarah Williams	SW	NESO Code Administrator	Tech Secretary	
Lauren Jauss	LJ	RWE	Proposer	
Alex Savvides	AS	Statkraft	Workgroup member	
Damian Clough	DC	SSE	Workgroup member	
George Douthwaite	GD	ITP Energised	Observer	
Karl Maryon	KM	Drax	Workgroup member	
Louis Sandiford	LS	Ofgem	Authority	
			Representative	

• • • • • • • • • •





Niall Coyle	NC	NESO	NESO Representative
Paul Mott	РМ	NESO	NESO Representative
Robert Longden	RL	Cornwall Insight	Workgroup member
Simon Vicary	SV	EDF	Workgroup member

• • • • • • • • • •