



Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Workgroup Meeting 6:

Date: 04 June 2025

Contact Details

Chair: Teri Puddefoot, teri.puddefoot@neso.energy Proposer: Lauren Jauss, lauren.jauss@rwe.com

Key areas of discussion

The Chair outlined the meeting agenda, which included a timeline update, actions from the last meeting, and reviewing the draft Workgroup consultation, followed by any other business and next steps for the modification.

Timeline update:

The Chair provided an update on the timeline, noting that they had recently caught up with the Proposer and noted that the project is currently behind schedule, which affects the timeline for submitting the charging modification to Ofgem by the end of September. The delay is attributed to the ongoing process of gathering information and developing the solution.

The Chair advised that there are a couple of Workgroups scheduled, with a contingency plan for an additional Workgroup on the 1st of July, ahead of the consultation phase. They plan to present an updated timeline to the CUSC panel this month and will circulate it to the Workgroup beforehand.

<u>Action Log Review:</u>

The Chair reviewed the action log, discussing various actions and their statuses.

Action 8: The Proposer provided an update on Action 8, which involved working through the equation of understanding examples for 20 million by the four to seven demands and splitting up into four to seven for peak and year-round using different volumes. The Proposer mentioned that they are there with looking





at different scenarios, but the future charging examples still need to be done. This action was closed.

Action 11: The Proposer updated the Workgroup on Action 11, which involved adding percentages to the impact tables. She noted that this action was to remain open

Action 12: Lauren discussed Action 12, which involved clearly defining the original proposal and discussing any alternatives. She mentioned that the original proposal has been updated in the consultation document, but further updates are needed based on new data. This action was to remain open.

Action 13: A Workgroup member provided an update on Action 13, which involved providing additional data on the impact of the charging year forecast. They mentioned they had pulled together data from Elexon and shared it with the Chair and the Proposer. This action was closed.

Action 14: Action 14 involved addressing anomalies in the data. The Proposer noted that the anomalies have been resolved with updated data, but further review is needed, and the calculation tables will need to be updated. This action was closed.

Negative Price Analysis:

A Workgroup member presented some analysis on the impact of the proposed solution on customers, highlighting the potential for negative prices during peak periods. The analysis showed that negative prices could incentivise customers to shift their demand to peak periods.

<u>Draft Workgroup Consultation document:</u>

The Chair presented and went through the document and explained the purpose of the Workgroup consultation and encouraged Workgroup members to review and comment on it. The Chair emphasised the importance of capturing everyone's work and thoughts in the document.

• • • • • • • • • • •



- Legal Text development: It was mentioned the need to start looking at legal text and agreed that NESO would share the latest CUS section 14 baseline document with the Proposer.
- WACM Proposal: A Workgroup member presented a draft WACCM proposal, suggesting a simplified approach to charging based on a single pence per kWh figure. They plan to develop the proposal further and share it with the Workgroup before the next meeting.
- Alternative WACCM Suggestion: Another Workgroup member suggested an alternative WACCM that involves charging through the DNO, which could help suppliers better manipulate individual customers and respond to signals. They expressed interest in getting someone to raise this as a WACCM.

Next Steps

The Chair outlined the next steps, including updating the timeline, reviewing the Workgroup consultation document, and preparing for the next work group meeting. They emphasised the importance of capturing everyone's input and developing the document further.

Actions

For the full action log, click here.

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
11	WG4	LJ	The Proposer took an action to add percentage changes into the impact tables showing the impact on bills for better clarity.	WG5	Open
12	WG4	LJ/AII	The Proposer took an action to clearly define the original	WG5	Open





			proposal for discussion at the next meeting. Workgroup members to discuss whether any alternatives should then be proposed ahead of the Workgroup Consultation.		
15	WG6	TP/SW	Update the timeline and circulate it to the Workgroup for review before sending it to the panel.	WG7	Open
16	WG6	All	Review and edit the Workgroup consultation document, adding comments and changes as necessary.	Ongoing	Open
17	WG6	AS	Develop the WACCM proposal and share it with the work group for review before the next Workgroup.	WG7	Open
18	WG6	LJ/PM	Develop the legal text on the current CUSC section 14 baseline	Ongoing	Open

Attendees

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Teri Puddefoot	TP	NESO Code	Chair
		Administrator	



Sarah Williams	SW	NESO Code	Tech Sec
		Administrator	
Lauren Jauss	LJ	RWE	Proposer
Alex Savvides	AS	Statkraft	Workgroup
			member
Akpanedet Uduak	AU	ITP Energised	Observer
Damian Clough	DC	SSE	Workgroup
			member
David Tooby	DT	Ofgem	Authority
			Representative
George Douthwaite	GD	ITP Energised	Observer
Karl Maryon	KM	Drax	Workgroup
			member
Niall Coyle	NC	NESO	NESO
			Representative
Paul Mott	PM	NESO	NESO
			Representative
Robert Longden	RL	Cornwall Insight	Workgroup
			member
Simon Vicary	SV	EDF	Workgroup
			member