

# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Workgroup Meeting 10: CMP448 Introducing a Progression Commitment Fee to the Gate 2 Connections Queue

Date: 14/05/2025

**Contact Details** 

Chair: Joseph Henry, joseph.henry2@nationalenergyso.com

Proposer: Ash Adams, ashley.adams2@nationalenergyso.com

# **Key areas of discussion**

The Chair outlined the meeting agenda, which included a review of the query and action log, discussing Island Green Power Alternative Proposal, Alternative vote, review the draft legal text and planning for the next Workgroup meeting.

# **Action and Query Log**

The Chair confirmed that the action and query log would be reviewed at the next Workgroup meeting due to the short turnaround between this Workgroup and the previous Workgroup meeting.

#### **Island Green Power Alternative Proposal**

The Proposer of this alternative provided the Workgroup with updated slides on the alternate proposal following feedback from Workgroup members. They explained the following points, the PCF activation and calculation process, detailing the initial payment starting at £100 per MW, subsequent increases at each Section 15 security date, and the cap on the total PCF value at £10,000 per MW. They provided technical details on the alternative proposal, including the rationale behind certain decisions and the expected impact on the project.

The Proposer of the alternative proposal discussed the PCF security, good behaviour reward, and netting of securities, explaining that the PCF is an additional payment to encourage timely project progress. Projects demonstrating good behaviour by leaving the queue with more than six months left to their M1 dates would receive a 50% discount on the final PCF payment. The PCF security posted would be net of other securities already paid.





#### **Alternative Vote**

The Chair outlined the voting process on alternatives to the Workgroup. Workgroup held their vote on the alternative proposal. This proposal did not receive a majority and was not saved by the Workgroup Chair who provided their rationale on why they did not save this, explaining that it would make the Proposers Original solution less likely to achieve the Applicable CUSC Objectives.

# **Legal Text Review**

The NESO legal representative attended the Workgroup to discuss the proposed changes to the legal text for CMP448. The SME detailed the PCF activation process, explaining that NESO would calculate and publish the PCF activation metric twice each financial year, with specific dates to be finalised.

NESO would also determine whether the PCF should be activated within one month of publishing the activation metric, followed by a notification to Ofgem. Ofgem would then have two months to decide on the activation, considering NESO's determination. The PCF activation date would be set at least three months after Ofgem's determination or the end of the determination period if Ofgem does not make a decision.

The Proposer discussed the information sharing requirements with DNOs to support the PCF activation and calculation. DNOs would need to provide cumulative megawatts of terminated projects or those failing to meet milestone one to NESO twice a year before PCF activation, and monthly updates on projects meeting milestone one, terminations, and reductions in capacity post-activation. DNOs must provide the required information in a specified format and respond promptly to any reasonable requests for further clarification from NESO.

A Workgroup member raised a question about the cancellation charge profile, expressing concerns about potential confusion for developers regarding total liability. The Proposer acknowledged the need for further discussion on the matter to clarify this.

The Workgroup discussed the proposed changes in detail and as such, the following updates were agreed on the legal text by the Workgroup:

- **PCF Activation Metric Definition:** Review and clarify the definition of "PCF activation metric" to ensure it accurately reflects the intended scope and excludes self-selected projects.
- **PCF Activation Date Wording:** Revise the wording in the legal text to ensure clarity regarding the PCF activation date and its implications.



- **Legal Text Simplification:** Simplify the legal text in paragraph 3.2a to improve readability and clarity regarding the calculation of the cancellation charge secured amount.
- **PCF Activation Threshold Reset:** Add wording to the legal text to describe the process for resetting the PCF activation threshold and re-evaluating it for each five-year period.

# **Draft Workgroup Report Review**

The Chair outlined the plan for drafting and sharing the Workgroup report, stating that the initial draft would be shared with opportunities for feedback before finalising the report at the next meeting.

# **Next Steps**

• The next Workgroup meeting will be held on 19 May which will include a review of legal text and Workgroup report.

### **Actions**

For the full action log, click here.

4	WG1	AA	NESO to clarify if the new regime will be reflected and operated through the connection portal.	WG10	Open
8	WG2	АА	Produce further scenarios on when the PCF is triggered and the timeframe between the acceptance signature and the counter signature	WG9	Open
15	WG6	JH	To revisit slide 10 of the pack, adjust and clarify with Workgroup.	WG9	Open
24	WG7	АА	NESO to provide consumer impact analysis in line with Query No 22.	WG10	Open

#### **Attendees**

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Joe Henry	JH	NESO	Chair
Ren Walker	RW	NESO	Tech Sec

• • • • • • • • • •



ப	ш	h	
	u	U	IIC.

i ublic			
Ash Adams	AA	NESO	Proposer
			Workgroup
Andrew Allan	AAL	RWE	Member
			Workgroup
Amy - Isabella Wells	AIW	NGET	Member
	AE		Workgroup
Andrew Enzor		Field Energy	Member
			Workgroup
Andrew Yates	AY	Statkraft	Member
	ВН		Workgroup
Brian Hoy		ENWL	Member
		RWE Supply and Trading	Workgroup
Claire Hynes	СН	GmbH	Member
			Workgroup
Rosemary McInness	RMI	ScottishPower Renewables	Alternate
			Workgroup
Dennis Gowland	DG	Research Relay Ltd	Member
			Workgroup
Andy Colley	AC	SSE Generation	Alternate
			Workgroup
Gareth Williams	GW	SP Energy Networks	Member
			Workgroup
Grant Rogers	GR	Qualitas Energy	Member
			Workgroup
Grahame Neale	GN	Lightsource BP	Member
			Workgroup
Helen Stack	HS	Centrica	Member
			Workgroup
Jack Purchase	JP	NGED	Member
			Workgroup
Jamie McDougall	JMD	SP Energy Networks	Member
			Workgroup
James Jackson	JJ	Orsted	Member
			Workgroup
Joe Colebrook	JC	Innova	Member
			Workgroup
Nina Sanghera	NS	Drax	Member
			Workgroup
Robin Prince	RP	Island Green Power	Member





			Workgroup
Rob Smith	RS	ENSO Energy	Member
			Workgroup
Charles Williams	CW	Wind2	Observer
			Workgroup
Euan Norrington	EN	SSE Renewables	Observer
	KS		Workgroup
Kyle Smith		<b>Energy Networks</b>	Observer
Jamie Hardy	JH	Accenture	SME
	DFM	Ofgem	Authority
Danieel Ffrench Mullen			Representative

• • • • • • • • •