



# Code Administrator Meeting Summary

Workgroup Meeting 16: CMP316 TNUoS Arrangements for Colocated Generation Sites

Date: 24 April 2025

**Contact Details** 

Chair: Lizzie Timmins, <u>Elizabeth.Timmins@nationalenergyso.com</u> Proposer: Martin Cahill, <u>Martin.Cahilll@nationalenergyso.com</u>

## Key areas of discussion

The Chair welcomed Workgroup members to Workgroup meeting 16 and noted an update to the agenda that the Proposer would talk through.

The Proposer explained that the Authority provided feedback indicating that the proposed changes to the calculations mentioned in the previous Workgroup and in the Workgroup 16 Papers were beyond the scope of the modification. As a result, the updated spreadsheets and calculations could not be used. The Proposer explained that their focus would now be on simplifying the legal text and correcting errors, while keeping the same calculations that were previously submitted for CMP316.

#### **Review of Actions**

The Chair proposed closing actions 1, 3, 4 and 5 as these were completed and are no longer relevant due to the Proposers update. Action 2 remained open.

#### **Timeline Review**

The timeline was discussed and the Chair noted that there was only 1 Workgroup before the planned third Code Administrator Consultation, emphasising the importance of getting the legal text and solution right rather than rushing to meet the timeline. Workgroup members were in support of this.

## **Review Legal Text**

Updates to the legal text were shared with Workgroup members by the Proposer, who highlighted the changes and simplifications. The Proposer noted that the legal text was not the final version and incorporated some changes from previous drafts.





Specific sections of the legal text, including the introduction of multi-technology power stations, technology types, and the use of acronyms were reviewed by Workgroup members; it was suggested to shorten acronyms to improve clarity.

Workgroup members provided feedback on the legal text, including suggestions for improving clarity and consistency. The Proposer addressed Workgroup members' questions and comments, noting areas that required further updates. It was agreed that the updated legal text would be shared with Workgroup members ahead of the next Workgroup meeting on 06 May.

### **Proposer's Update**

#### **ALF Calculations**

The Proposers of the Original solution and WACMI, discussed the issue with generic ALFs, noting that the generic ALFs might not be consistent with the calculations. They emphasised the need to adjust the generic ALFs to ensure consistency. The Proposer agreed to provide an example to clarify the issue and ensure the calculations are consistent with the legal text.

The Proposer advised that they had investigated a possible interaction mentioned at the previous Workgroup with CMP444. They advised that upon review, no interaction was expected as the Cap and Floor modification would impact tariffs and not conflict with the calculations proposed as part of CMP316.

#### **Review Terms of Reference**

The Chair shared the Terms of Reference relating to the send back for CMP316 and advised Workgroup members that they would probably need to see the next iteration of legal text before they could properly assess each of the Terms of Reference. No Workgroup members raised concerns with meeting the Terms of Reference.

## **Next Steps**

It was agreed that the Proposer would share the updated legal text with Workgroup members ahead of the next Workgroup after the NESO legal team had reviewed them, also the Proposer would provide an example of the ALF issue and make a decision on whether to use Maximum Capacity or Installed Capacity.

• • • • • • • • •





Both the Chair and Proposer will work on the Code Administrator Consultation in the meantime and share a draft with Workgroup members in due course.

## **Actions**

For the full action log, click <u>here.</u>

Action Number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
1	WG15	MC	Add worked examples into spreadsheet for positive and negative zones, include comparisons for Baseline/Original/WACM1, different capacity values and consider whether to simplify WACM1 further by calculating the ALF based on installed capacity rather than MTPSTEC (step 2)	WG16	Closed
2	WG15	МС	Provide comments on LDTEC/STTEC potential issue	WG16	Open
3	WG15	MC/LJ	Finalise calculations for WACM1	WG16	Closed
4	WG15	MC/LJ	Look into the consistency of ALFs across the solution. Specifically, ensure that the calculation of ALFs is consistent with the legal text.	WG16	Closed
5	WG15	мс/вв	Liaise regarding the three technology type example	WG16	Closed
6	WG16	МС	Share the updated legal text with Workgroup members ahead of the next Workgroup	WG17	Open
7	WG16	МС	Make a decision on whether to use Maximum Capacity or Installed Capacity in the legal text	WG17	Open
8	WG16	МС	Provide an example of the Generic ALF issue to clarify the calculation discrepancies.	WG17	Open





# **Attendees**

Name	Initial	Company	Role
Lizzie Timmins	LT	NESO Code	Chair
		Administrator	
Deborah Spencer	DC	NESO Code	Technical secretary
		Administrator	
Martin Cahill	МС	NESO	NESO Rep
Andrew Dudkowsky	AD	NESO	Observer
Daniel Ffrench-Mullen	DFM	Ofgem	Authority Rep
Edda Dirks	ED	SSE	Workgroup Member Alternate
George Douthwaite	GD	ITP Energised	Observer
Hector Perez	HP	Scottish Power	Workgroup Member Alternate
Lauren Jauss	LJ	RWE	Workgroup Member
Peter Frampton	PF	VPI	Observer
Robert Hughes	RH	NESO	Observer
Rob Smith	RS	Enso Energy Ltd	Workgroup Member
Ryan Ward	RW	Scottish Power	Workgroup Member
Sarah Johnson	SJ	NESO	Observer