

Public

# GSR030

Workgroup 13, 22 April 2025

Online Meeting via Teams

# WELCOME



# Record

## Public Expectations of a Workgroup Member

Contribute to the discussion

Be respectful of each other's opinions

Language and Conduct to be consistent with the values of equality and diversity

Do not share commercially sensitive information

Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings

Complete actions in a timely manner

Keep to agreed scope

Email communications to/cc'ing the .box email

## Your Roles

Help refine/develop the solution(s)

Bring forward alternatives as early as possible

Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives

Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code Objectives

# Agenda

Topics to be discussed	Lead
Welcome	Chair
Objectives and Timeline <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Timeline review</li><li>• Action Log</li></ul>	Chair
Review Terms of Reference	Chair
Review Workgroup Consultation Report	All
Any Other Business	Chair
Next Steps	Chair

# Objectives and Timeline

Teri Puddefoot – ESO Code  
Administrator

# Timeline for GSR030

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Modification presented to Panel	09 November 2022	Workgroup report issued to Panel (10 business days)	09 September 2025
Workgroup Nominations	14 November 2022 to 09 December 2022	Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference	23 September 2025
Workgroup 9	11 March 2025	Code Administrator Consultation (X business days) (15 clear business days minimum (20 clear business days in holiday periods if doesn't unduly delay timeline).	30 September 2025 to 28 October 2025
Workgroup 10	22 April 2025	Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel (10 business days)	10 November 2025
Workgroup 11	28 May?	Panel undertake DFMR recommendation vote	25 November 2025
Workgroup 12	June 24?	Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly Ideally issued within 2 business days of Panel's DFMR recommendation vote. They have 5 clear business days to check.	27 November 2025
Workgroup Consultation (15 business days) 15 clear business days minimum (20 clear business days in holiday periods if doesn't unduly delay timeline)	08 July 2025 to 05 August 2025	Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem This is clear 5 business days after Final Modification Report is issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly	08 December 2025
Workgroup 13	22 August 2025	Ofgem decision (X business days) Typically TBC or decision requested/needed by DD Month Year	TBC
Workgroup 14	05 September 2025	Implementation Date Typically 1 April date if a CUSC charging change; 10 business days after Ofgem decision for anything else. There are exceptions depending on the change itself.	TBC



## Action Log

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status
29	6	NN, LC	Slides from WG 5 were to be reviewed and updated before sharing with the Workgroup for publication.	12/03 - To remain open for now	WG13	Open
39	7	BA, SQSS Panel	Offshore Transmission Owners to be contacted about the likelihood of mechanical failures (which can be handled confidentially) for the Proposer to assess.	12/03 - remain open	WG8/9	Open
43	10	All	Provide feedback on details discussed in WG10 on scope creep by email	This required Panel view- BA to draft email to Panel	WG11	Open
44	12	BA	Develop text regards loss of reactive capability and ensure accuracy		WG13	Open
45	12	BA	Create and share with Workgroup a diagram explaining worst-case scenario related to voltage limits and reactive capability		WG13	Open
46	12	All	Workgroup to review and decide if Annex 3 (including draft proposal for STC) should be deleted from the report.		WG13	Open
47	12	TP	Add a section in the report for Workgroup Consultation questions and gather suggestions from responses	Done	WG13	Open
48	12	All	Review the Workgroup Report, focussing on the justification of the 1800MW section and provide feedback		WG13	Open
49	12	BA/NN	Nicola expressed concerns about the process of reclassifying and declassifying cables based on risk assessments. Consider how to develop a more structured approach to the assessment process.		WG13	open
50	12	BA	To email Panel to gain their views on the representation within the Workgroup to avoid issues on the day of submission.		WG13	Open



# Review Terms of Reference


Teri Puddefoot – NESO Code  
Administrator

# Terms of Reference

Workgroup Term of Reference	Location in Workgroup Report (to be completed at Workgroup Report stage)
If there is no reliability data available, consider alternative ways of assessing the risks and the benefits for the increase of the loss of infeed risk.	
Consider risk-based approach for the specification of any restriction on the loss of infeed risk associated with multiple cables sharing the same route.	
Consider retrospective impact on existing cables.	

# Review Workgroup Consultation Report

Proposer



# Next Steps

Teri Puddefoot – NESO Code  
Administrator





# Any Other Business

Teri Puddefoot – NESO Code  
Administrator

